arXiv:0804.0305v2 [math.RT] 13 Dec 2008

Noncanonical Polynomial Representations of Classical Lie Algebras

Cuiling Luo

Institute of Mathematics, Academy of Mathematics & System Sciences,
Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100190, China

E-mail: luocuiling05@mails.gucas.ac.cn

Abstract

Using the skew-symmetry of the differential operators and multiplication operators in the canonical representations of finite-dimensional classical Lie algebras, we obtain some noncanonical polynomial representations of the classical Lie algebras. The representation spaces of all polynomials are decomposed into irreducible submodules, which are infinite-dimensional. Bases for the irreducible submodules are constructed. In particular, we obtain some new infinite-dimensional irreducible modules of symplectic Lie algebras that are not of highest weight type.

Keywords: representation, irreducible module, highest weight, singular vector.

1 Introduction

In Lie algebras, the highest weight representation theory plays a fundamental role (e.g., cf. [4], [5]), where one of the most beautiful things is the Weyl character formula for finite-dimensional irreducible modules of finite-dimensional simple Lie algebras. However, the irreducible modules are only identified as the unique irreducible quotient module of the corresponding Verma modules and their bases are not explicitly given. Moreover, no information on infinite-dimensional irreducible highest weight modules is given. Gelfand and Tsetlin [2, 3] constructed a basis for finite-dimensional irreducible modules of special linear Lie algebras and orthogonal Lie algebras, and gave the representation formulas of simple root vectors. Molev [6] generalized their works to symplectic Lie algebras. A deficiency of these works is that the representation formulas of general elements in the Lie algebras are too complicated to give. There are also other works on basis for finite-dimensional irreducible modules of finite-dimensional simple Lie algebras, such as monomial basis, with a certain deficiency.

Canonical polynomial irreducible representations (the known oscillator representations in physics) (e.g., cf. [1]) of finite-dimensional simple Lie algebras are very important from application point of view, where both the representation formulas and bases are clear. But they are special irreducible representations. So it is desirable to find more polynomial irreducible representations in which both the representation formulas and bases are explicitly given, especially infinite-dimensional ones.

In this paper, we use the skew-symmetry of the differential operators and multiplication operators in the canonical representations of classical Lie algebras, to obtain some noncanonical polynomial representations of classical Lie algebras. The representation spaces of all polynomials are decomposed into irreducible submodules, which are infinite-dimensional. Bases for the irreducible submodules are constructed and Xu's work [8] on flag partial differential equations is used in some cases. In particular, we obtain some new infinite-dimensional irreducible modules of symplectic Lie algebras that are not of highest weight type. Below we give a more detailed technical introduction.

For convenience, we take the following notation of indices:

$$\overline{i,j} = \{i, i+1, ..., j\}, \tag{1.1}$$

where $i \leq j$ are integers. Let $E_{i,j}$ be the square matrix whose (i,j)-entry is 1 and the others are zero. The canonical polynomial representation of the general linear Lie algebra $gl(n,\mathbb{C})$ is given by

$$E_{i,j} = x_i \partial_{x_j}, \qquad i,j \in \overline{1,n}. \tag{1.2}$$

Indeed the above representation shows that we can use (x_i, ∂_{x_j}) as the coordinates (i,j) of matrix. The canonical polynomial representation of $sl(n,\mathbb{C})$, $so(\mathbb{C},n)$ and $sp(n,\mathbb{C})$ (n is even) are given by the above formulas as restricted representations of Lie subalgebras of $gl(\mathbb{C},n)$. Denote $\mathcal{A} = \mathbb{C}[x_1, x_2, ..., x_n]$ and denote by $\mathcal{A}_i$ the space of all polynomials of degree i in $\mathcal{A}$. It is known that all $\mathcal{A}_i$ are irreducible $sl(n,\mathbb{C})$-submodules and are irreducible $sp(n,\mathbb{C})$-submodules when n is even. Let $\mathcal{H}_i$ be harmonic polynomials of degree i, that is,

$$\mathcal{H}_i = \{f \in \mathcal{A}_i \mid (\sum_{i=1}^{n} \partial_{x_i}^2)(f) = 0\}. \tag{1.3}$$

View $so(n,\mathbb{C})$ as the subalgebra of skew-symmetric matrices in $gl(\mathbb{C},n)$. It is well known that

$$\mathcal{A}_i = \mathcal{H}_i \oplus (\sum_{r=1}^{n} x_r^2)\mathcal{A}_{i-2}, \tag{1.4}$$

where we treat $\mathcal{A}_{-1} = \mathcal{A}_{-2} = \{0\}$. An explicit basis for $\mathcal{H}_i$ is given in [8]. Moreover, Xu [8] obtained similar result for the simple Lie algebra of type G_2.

Denote by $\mathbb{Z}$ the ring of integers and by $\mathbb{N}$ the set of nonnegative integers. Xu [7] observed that the positions of x_i and ∂_{x_i} are skew symmetric as operators on $\mathcal{A}$, that is,

$$[\partial_{x_i}, x_j] = \delta_{i,j} = [-x_j, \partial_{x_i}] \tag{1.5}$$

and gave the following noncanonical polynomial representation of $gl(n,\mathbb{C})$:

$$E_{i,j}=\begin{cases}-x_j\partial_{x_i}-\delta_{i,j} & \text{if } 1\le i,j\le m,\\ \partial_{x_i}\partial_{x_j} & \text{if } 1\le i\le m,\ m<j\le n,\\ -x_ix_j & \text{if } m<i\le n,\ 1\le j\le m,\\ x_i\partial_{x_j} & \text{if } m<i\le n,\ m<j\le n,\end{cases}\tag{1.6}$$

where $m<n$ is a given positive integer. Define

$$\mathcal{A}_{\langle r\rangle}=\text{Span}\,\{x_1^{i_1}x_2^{i_2}\cdots x_n^{i_n}\mid i_1,...,i_n\in\mathbb{N};\sum_{s=1}^m i_s-\sum_{t=m+1}^n i_t=r\},\qquad r\in\mathbb{Z}.\tag{1.7}$$

Then $\mathcal{A}=\bigoplus_{r\in\mathbb{Z}}\mathcal{A}_{\langle r\rangle}$. It was proved in [7] that $\mathcal{A}_{\langle r\rangle}$ forms an infinite-dimensional irreducible highest weight $sl(n,\mathbb{C})$-module with x_m^r as a highest weight vector of weight $r\lambda_{m-1}-(r+1)\lambda_m$ if $r\ge 0$, and with x_{m+1}^{-r} as a highest weight vector of weight $(r-1)\lambda_m-r\lambda_{m+1}$ when $r<0$. Here and in the rest of this paper, λ_i always denotes the ith fundamental weight. Our first goal of this paper is to decompose $\mathcal{A}$ as a direct sum of irreducible submodules and construct a basis for each irreducible submodule for the restricted noncanonical representation of $so(n,\mathbb{C})$ and $sp(n,\mathbb{C})$ (n is even) given by the above formulas under an action of a permutation on $\overline{1,n}$. Xu's work [8] on flag partial differential equations is used in the case of $so(n,\mathbb{C})$.

Let

$$\mathcal{B}=\mathbb{C}[x_1,...,x_n,y_1,...,y_n].\tag{1.8}$$

Define a representation of $sl(n,\mathbb{C})$ on $\mathcal{B}$ via

$$E_{i,j}|_{\mathcal{B}}=x_i\partial_{x_j}-y_j\partial_{y_i},\qquad i,j=1,...,n.\tag{1.9}$$

Set

$$\mathcal{B}_{\ell_1,\ell_2}=\text{Span}\,\{x_1^{\alpha_1}\cdots x_n^{\alpha_n}y_1^{\beta_1}\cdots y_n^{\beta_n}\mid \alpha_r,\beta_s\in\mathbb{N};\ \sum_{r=1}^n\alpha_r=\ell_1,\ \sum_{s=1}^n\beta_s=\ell_2\}\tag{1.10}$$

for $\ell_1,\ell_2\in\mathbb{N}$. Denote

$$\mathcal{H}_{\ell_1,\ell_2}=\{f\in\mathcal{B}_{\ell_1,\ell_2}\mid(\sum_{i=1}^n\partial_{x_i}\partial_{y_i})(f)=0\}.\tag{1.11}$$

It was proved in [8] that $\mathcal{H}_{\ell_1,\ell_2}$ are irreducible $sl(n,\mathbb{C})$-submodules and

$$\mathcal{B}_{\ell_1,\ell_2}=\mathcal{H}_{\ell_1,\ell_2}\bigoplus(\sum_{i=1}^n x_iy_i)\mathcal{B}_{\ell_1-1,\ell_2-1}.\tag{1.12}$$

Moreover, a basis for each $\mathcal{H}_{\ell_1,\ell_2}$ was given. Our second goal is to decompose $\mathcal{B}$ as a direct sum of irreducible submodules under the noncanonical representation of $sl(n,\mathbb{C})$ obtained by swapping some $-x_r$ and ∂_{x_r} as (1.6). Again Xu's work [8] is used to construct a basis for each irreducible submodule.

The paper is organized as follows. In section 2, we study the noncanonical polynomial representations of $sp(n,\mathbb{C})$ mentioned in the above. The results on the noncanonical polynomial representations of $so(n,\mathbb{C})$ with even n are given in Section 3. In Section 4, we investigate the noncanonical polynomial representations of $so(n,\mathbb{C})$ with odd n. Section 5 is devoted to the noncanonical polynomial representations of $sl(n,\mathbb{C})$ mentioned in the last paragraph.

2 Noncanonical Representations of $sp(2n,\mathbb{C})$

In this section, we study the canonical representation of the symplectic Lie algebra $sp(n,\mathbb{C})$ (n is even) defined via (1.6) and a permutation on $\overline{1,n}$. We decompose $\mathcal{A}=\mathbb{C}[x_1,....,x_n]$ into a direct sum of irreducible submodules and give a basis for each submodules.

For notational convenience, we use $2n$ instead of n. Moreover, in this section, we always use $\mathcal{G}$ to denote the symplectic Lie algebra

$$sp(2n,\mathbb{C})=\sum_{i,j}^{n}\mathbb{C}(E_{i,j}-E_{n+j,n+i})+\sum_{1\leq i\leq j\leq n}[\mathbb{C}(E_{n+i,j}+E_{n+j,i})+\mathbb{C}(E_{i,n+j}+E_{j,n+i})].$$

Now $\mathcal{A}=\mathbb{C}[x_1,x_2,\cdots,x_{2n}]$ is the algebra of polynomials in $2n$ variables. Note

$$H=\sum_{i=1}^{n}\mathbb{C}(E_{i,i}-E_{n+i,n+i}),$$

is a Cartan subalgebra. Take $\{E_{i,j}-E_{n+j,n+i},E_{i,n+j}+E_{j,n+i}\mid 1\leq i<j\leq n\}$ and $\{E_{i,n+i}\mid i\in\overline{1,n}\}$ as positive root vectors.

Recall that in the canonical representation (1.2), we can view (x_i,∂_{x_j}) as the coordinates of matrix. Let $\{S,T\}$ be a partition of $\overline{1,2n}$. Swapping

$$-x_i\leftrightarrow\partial_{x_i}\qquad\text{for } i\in T,$$

we obtain the following noncanonical representation of $sp(2n,\mathbb{C})$ on $\mathcal{A}$ via

$$E_{i,j}|_{\mathcal{A}}=\begin{cases}x_i\partial_{x_j}, & \text{if } i,j\in S,\\ -x_ix_j, & \text{if } i\in S \text{ and } j\in T,\\ \partial_{x_i}\partial_{x_j}, & \text{if } i\in T \text{ and } j\in S,\\ -x_j\partial_{x_i}-\delta_{i,j}, & \text{if } i,j\in T.\end{cases}\tag{2.1}$$

Set

$$\mathcal{A}_{\langle k\rangle}=\text{Span}\,\{x^\alpha\mid\alpha\in\mathbb{N}^{2n};\sum_{i\in S}\alpha_i-\sum_{r\in T}\alpha_r=k\}.$$

Since

$$[\xi|_{\mathcal{A}},\sum_{i\in S}x_i\partial_{x_i}-\sum_{r\in T}x_r\partial_{x_r}]=0\qquad\text{for }\ \xi\in sp(2n,\mathbb{C}),$$

we have the following simple fact:

Lemma 2.1 *The subspace $\mathcal{A}_{\langle k\rangle}$ is a $sp(2n,\mathbb{C})$-submodule of $\mathcal{A}$.* □

Define a bilinear form $(\cdot|\cdot)$ on $\mathcal{A}$ by

$$(x^\alpha|x^\beta) = \delta_{\alpha,\beta}(-1)^{\sum\limits_{i\in T}\alpha_i}\alpha!, \tag{2.2}$$

where $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \cdots, \alpha_{2n}), x^\alpha = \prod\limits_{i=1}^{2n} x^{\alpha_i}$.

Lemma 2.2 *For any $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{N}^{2n}$ and $g \in gl(2n,\mathbb{C})$,*

$$(g.x^\alpha|x^\beta) = (x^\alpha|{}^t g.x^\beta) \tag{2.3}$$

where ${}^t g$ is the transpose of g.

Proof. It is sufficient to show that

$$\big(E_{i,j}.(x_i^{\alpha_i}x_j^{\alpha_j})|x_i^{\beta_i}x_j^{\beta_j}\big) = \big(x_i^{\alpha_i}x_j^{\alpha_j}|E_{j,i}.(x_i^{\beta_i}x_j^{\beta_j})\big) \text{ for } i \neq j \in \overline{1,2n}, \tag{2.4}$$

and

$$\big(E_{i,i}.(x_i^{\alpha_i})|x_i^{\beta_i}\big) = \big(x_i^{\alpha_i}|E_{i,i}.(x_i^{\beta_i})\big) \text{ for } i \in \overline{1,2n}. \tag{2.5}$$

If $i, j \in S$,

$$\begin{aligned}\big(E_{i,j}.(x_i^{\alpha_i}x_j^{\alpha_j})|x_i^{\beta_i}x_j^{\beta_j}\big) &= \alpha_j\delta_{\alpha_i+1,\beta_i}\delta_{\alpha_j-1,\beta_j}(\alpha_i+1)!(\alpha_j-1)! \\ &= \delta_{\alpha_i,\beta_i-1}\delta_{\alpha_j,\beta_j+1}\beta_i\alpha_i!\alpha_j! \\ &= \big(x_i^{\alpha_i}x_j^{\alpha_j}|E_{j,i}.(x_i^{\beta_i}x_j^{\beta_j})\big).\end{aligned}$$

For $i \in S, j \in T$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\big(E_{i,j}.(x_i^{\alpha_i}x_j^{\alpha_j})|x_i^{\beta_i}x_j^{\beta_j}\big) &= -\delta_{\alpha_i+1,\beta_i}\delta_{\alpha_j+1,\beta_j}(-1)^{\alpha_j+1}(\alpha_i+1)!(\alpha_j+1)! \\ &= \delta_{\alpha_i,\beta_i-1}\delta_{\alpha_j-1,\beta_j}(-1)^{\alpha_j}\beta_i\beta_j\alpha_i!\alpha_j! \\ &= \big(x_i^{\alpha_i}x_j^{\alpha_j}|E_{j,i}.(x_i^{\beta_i}x_j^{\beta_j})\big).\end{aligned}$$

When $i \in T, j \in S$,

$$\begin{aligned}\big(E_{i,j}.(x_i^{\alpha_i}x_j^{\alpha_j})|x_i^{\beta_i}x_j^{\beta_j}\big) &= \alpha_i\alpha_j\delta_{\alpha_i-1,\beta_i}\delta_{\alpha_j-1,\beta_j}(-1)^{\alpha_i-1}(\alpha_i-1)!(\alpha_j-1)! \\ &= -\delta_{\alpha_i,\beta_i+1}\delta_{\alpha_j,\beta_j+1}(-1)^{\alpha_i}\alpha_i!\alpha_j! \\ &= \big(x_i^{\alpha_i}x_j^{\alpha_j}|E_{j,i}.(x_i^{\beta_i}x_j^{\beta_j})\big).\end{aligned}$$

Assuming $i, j \in T$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}\big(E_{i,j}.(x_i^{\alpha_i}x_j^{\alpha_j})|x_i^{\beta_i}x_j^{\beta_j}\big) &= -\alpha_i\delta_{\alpha_i-1,\beta_i}\delta_{\alpha_j+1,\beta_j}(-1)^{\alpha_i+\alpha_j}(\alpha_i-1)!(\alpha_j+1)! \\ &= -\delta_{\alpha_i,\beta_i+1}\delta_{\alpha_j,\beta_j-1}(-1)^{\alpha_i+\alpha_j}\alpha_i!\beta_j\alpha_j! \\ &= \big(x_i^{\alpha_i}x_j^{\alpha_j}|E_{j,i}.(x_i^{\beta_i}x_j^{\beta_j})\big).\end{aligned}$$

If $i \in S$,

$$\big(E_{i,i}.(x_i^{\alpha_i})|x_i^{\beta_i}\big) = \alpha_i\delta_{\alpha_i,\beta_i}\alpha_i! = \beta_i\delta_{\alpha_i,\beta_i}\alpha_i! = \big(x_i^{\alpha_i}|E_{i,i}.(x_i^{\beta_i})\big).$$

When $i \in T$,

$$\big(E_{i,i}.(x_i^{\alpha_i})|x_i^{\beta_i}\big) = -(\alpha_i+1)\delta_{\alpha_i,\beta_i}(-1)^{\alpha_i}\alpha_i! = -(\beta_i+1)\delta_{\alpha_i,\beta_i}(-1)^{\alpha_i}\alpha_i! = \big(x_i^{\alpha_i}|E_{i,i}.(x_i^{\beta_i})\big).$$

Hence (2.4)(2.5) holds. $\square$

Let $\mathcal{G}_+$ be the Lie subalgebra spanned by positive root vectors of $\mathcal{G}$. A nonzero element $f \in \mathcal{A}$ is called *singular* (with respect to $\mathcal{G}$) if

$$H.f \subset \mathbb{C}f, \qquad \mathcal{G}_+.f = \{0\}.$$

From now on, we count the number of singular vectors up to scalar multiple. Moreover, an element $g \in \mathcal{A}$ is called *nilpotent with respect to* $\mathcal{G}_+$ if there exist a positive integer m such that

$$\xi_1 \cdots \xi_m(g) = 0 \qquad \text{for any } \xi_1, ..., \xi_m \in \mathcal{G}_+.$$

A subspace V of $\mathcal{A}$ is called *nilpotent with respect to* $\mathcal{G}_+$ if all its elements are nilpotent with respect to $\mathcal{G}_+$. A linear transformation σ on $\mathcal{A}$ is called *locally nilpotent* if for any $f \in \mathcal{A}$, there exists a positive integer m such that $\sigma^m(f) = 0$. If the elements of $\mathcal{G}_+|_{\mathcal{A}}$ are locally nilpotent and

$$\mathcal{G}_+.\mathcal{A}_i \subset \sum_{r=0}^{i} \mathcal{A}_r \qquad \text{for any } \ i \in \mathbb{N},$$

then any element of $\mathcal{A}$ is nilpotent with respect to $\mathcal{G}_+$ by Theorem 3.3 in [4].

Lemma 2.3 *If a submodule N of $\mathcal{A}$ is nilpotent with respect to $\mathcal{G}_+$, N contains only one singular vector v and $(v|v) \neq 0$, then N is irreducible.*

Proof. Under the nilpotent assumption, any nonzero submodule of N contains a singular vector. In particular, $N_1 = U(\mathcal{G}).v$ an irreducible submodule by the uniqueness of singular vector. Set $N_1^{\perp} = \{u \in N | (u|w) = 0, \forall w \in N_1\}$ and $\mathcal{R} = \{u \in N | (u|w) = 0, \forall w \in N\}$. Notice that $N_1, N_1^{\perp}$, and $\mathcal{R}$ are submodules of N. Hence if $\mathcal{R} \neq 0$, it should contain a nonzero singular vector, which is impossible according to the assumption $(v|v) \neq 0$. Therefore $\mathcal{R} = 0$, and $N = N_1 \bigoplus N_1^{\perp}$. But $N_1^{\perp} = 0$ by the same argument, and so $N = N_1$. $\square$

Remark 2.4 *Lemma 2.1-2.3 remain valid if $\mathcal{G} = so(n, \mathbb{C})$, $\mathcal{A} = \mathbb{C}[x_1, \cdots, x_n]$.*

Set

$$\begin{aligned} S_1 &= \{i \in \overline{1,n} | \ \ i \in S, \ \ n+i \in S\}, \\ S_2 &= \{i \in \overline{1,n} | \ \ i \in S, \ \ n+i \in T\}, \\ T_1 &= \{i \in \overline{1,n} | \ \ i \in T, \ \ n+i \in T\}, \\ T_2 &= \{i \in \overline{1,n} | \ \ i \in T, \ \ n+i \in S\}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.6}$$

We first consider $n = 2$.

Case 1

$$T = \{1\}, \quad S = \{2, 3, 4\} \tag{2.7}$$

In this case, $\mathcal{A}$ is nilpotent with respect to $\mathcal{G}_+$. Let $f = f(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) \in \mathcal{A}$ be a singular vector. Since

$$E_{2,4}(f) = x_2\partial_{x_4}(f) = 0,$$

equivalently,

$$\partial_{x_4}(f) = 0,$$

and

$$(E_{1,4} + E_{2,3})(f) = (\partial_{x_1}\partial_{x_4} + x_2\partial_{x_3})(f) = x_2\partial_{x_3}(f) = 0$$

which implies

$$\partial_{x_3}(f) = 0.$$

Therefore, f is independent of x_3, x_4. Since

$$(E_{1,2} - E_{4,3})(f) = \partial_{x_1}\partial_{x_2}(f) = 0,$$

any singular vector must be of the form $x_1^{k_1}$ or $x_2^{k_2}$. Hence the only singular vector in $\mathcal{A}_{\langle k\rangle}$ (resp. $\mathcal{A}_{\langle -k\rangle}$) is x_2^k (resp. x_1^k) when $k \geq 0$.

Denote by λ_i the ith fundamental weight of $sp(2n, \mathbb{C})$. By Lemma 2.3, we have:

Lemma 2.5 *The subspace $\mathcal{A}_{\langle k\rangle}$ (resp. $\mathcal{A}_{\langle -k\rangle}$) is an irreducible highest weight $sp(4, \mathbb{C})$-module with the highest weight $-(k+1)\lambda_1 + k\lambda_2$ (resp. $-(k+1)\lambda_1$). The corresponding highest weight vector is x_2^k (resp. x_1^k).* □

Case 2

$$T = \{1, 2\}, \quad S = \{3, 4\} \tag{2.8}$$

In this case, $\mathcal{A}$ is again nilpotent with respect to $\mathcal{G}_+$. Suppose $f = f(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) \in \mathcal{A}$ is a singular vector. Since

$$(E_{1,2} - E_{4,3})(f) = -(x_2\partial_{x_1} + x_4\partial_{x_3})(f) = 0,$$

we can write $f = g(x_2, x_4, u)$, where $u = x_1x_4 - x_2x_3$. Moreover,

$$E_{1,3}(g) = -x_2x_4\frac{\partial^2 g}{\partial u^2} = 0,$$

which means

$$\frac{\partial^2 g}{\partial u^2} = 0.$$

So we can rewrite $g = g_1(x_2, x_4) + g_2(x_2, x_4)u$. Furthermore,

$$\begin{aligned} E_{2,4}(g) &= \partial_{x_2}\partial_{x_4}(g_1) + u\partial_{x_2}\partial_{x_4}(g_2) - x_3\partial_{x_4}(g_2) + x_1\partial_{x_2}(g_2) \\ &= \partial_{x_2}\partial_{x_4}(g_1) + x_1(x_4\partial_{x_2}\partial_{x_4}(g_2) + \partial_{x_2}(g_2)) \\ &\quad -x_3(x_2\partial_{x_2}\partial_{x_4}(g_2) + \partial_{x_4}(g_2)) = 0, \end{aligned} \tag{2.9}$$

which implies

$$\partial_{x_2}\partial_{x_4}(g_1)=0$$

and

$$(x_4\partial_{x_4}+1)\partial_{x_2}(g_2)=0,\ \ (x_2\partial_{x_2}+1)\partial_{x_4}(g_2)=0.$$

Hence

$$\partial_{x_2}(g_2)=0,\ \ \partial_{x_4}(g_2)=0,$$

equivalently, g_2 is independent of x_2 and x_4. Thus we obtain:

Lemma 2.6 *All singular vectors in $\mathcal{A}$ are $x_2^{k_2}$ $(k_2>0)$, $x_4^{k_4}$ $(k_4>0)$, $x_1x_4-x_2x_3$ and 1. Moreover, $\mathcal{A}_{\langle k\rangle}$ (resp. $\mathcal{A}_{\langle -k\rangle}$) is an irreducible highest weight module with the highest weight $k\lambda_1-(k+1)\lambda_2$ when $k>0$.* □

As for $k=0$, we have the following result:

Lemma 2.7 *The space $\mathcal{A}_{\langle 0\rangle}=U(\mathcal{G}).1\bigoplus U(\mathcal{G}).(x_1x_4-x_2x_3)$. Moreover, $U(\mathcal{G}).1$ and $U(\mathcal{G}).(x_1x_4-x_2x_3)$ are irreducible highest weight modules with the highest weight $-\lambda_2$ and $-2\lambda_2$, respectively. They have the following bases*

$$\{(x_1x_3)^p(x_2x_4)^q(x_1^tx_4^t+x_2^tx_3^t)|p,q\geq 0,t\geq 0\}$$

and

$$\{(x_1x_3)^p(x_2x_4)^q(x_1^tx_4^t-x_2^tx_3^t)|p,q\geq 0,t>0\},$$

respectively.

Proof. Set

$$V=Span\{(x_1x_3)^p(x_2x_4)^q(x_1^tx_4^t+x_2^tx_3^t)|p,q\geq 0,t\geq 0\}.$$

We will show that $V\subset U(\mathcal{G}).1$ first. For $\forall p,q\geq 0,t\geq 0$, if $(x_1^tx_4^t+x_2^tx_3^t)\in U(\mathcal{G}).1$, then $(x_1x_3)^p(x_2x_4)^q(x_1^tx_4^t+x_2^tx_3^t)\in U(\mathcal{G}).1$ because $E_{3,1}\mid_{\mathcal{A}}=-x_1x_3$ and $E_{4,2}\mid_{\mathcal{A}}=-x_2x_4$. Now we have $1\in U(\mathcal{G}).1$. Suppose $(x_1^lx_4^l+x_2^lx_3^l)\in U(\mathcal{G}).1$ for $l<t$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}&-(E_{41}+E_{32})(x_1^{t-1}x_4^{t-1}+x_2^{t-1}x_3^{t-1})\\=&\ (x_1x_4+x_2x_3)(x_1^{t-1}x_4^{t-1}+x_2^{t-1}x_3^{t-1})\\=&\ x_1^tx_4^t+x_2x_3x_1^{t-1}x_4^{t-1}+x_1x_4x_2^{t-1}x_3^{t-1}+x_2^tx_3^t\\=&\ (x_1^tx_4^t+x_2^tx_3^t)+x_1x_3x_2x_4(x_1^{t-2}x_4^{t-2}+x_2^{t-2}x_3^{t-2}).\end{aligned}$$

So $(x_1^tx_4^t+x_2^tx_3^t)\in U(\mathcal{G}).1$. Hence $V\subset U(\mathcal{G}).1$. Observe that $U(\mathcal{G}).1$ is spanned by

$$\{(E_{2,1}-E_{3,4})^{i_1}(E_{4,1}+E_{3,2})^{i_2}E_{3,1}^{i_3}E_{4,2}^{i_4}.1|i_1,i_2,i_3,i_4\geq 0\}.$$

Moreover,

$$E_{3,1}^{i_3}E_{4,2}^{i_4}.1=(-1)^{i_3+i_4}(x_1x_3)^{i_3}(x_2x_4)^{i_4}\in V.$$

Furthermore,

$$\begin{aligned}
& (E_{4,1}+E_{3,2}).\big((x_1x_3)^p(x_2x_4)^q(x_1^tx_4^t+x_2^tx_3^t)\big) \\
= \; & -(x_1x_3)^p(x_2x_4)^q(x_1x_4+x_2x_3)(x_1^tx_4^t+x_2^tx_3^t) \\
= \; & -(x_1x_3)^p(x_2x_4)^q(x_1^{t+1}x_4^{t+1}+x_2x_3x_1^tx_4^t+x_1x_4x_2^tx_3^t+x_2^{t+1}x_3^{t+1}) \\
= \; & -(x_1x_3)^p(x_2x_4)^q(x_1^{t+1}x_4^{t+1}+x_2^{t+1}x_3^{t+1})+(x_1x_3)^{p+1}(x_2x_4)^{q+1}(x_1^{t-1}x_4^{t-1}+x_2^{t-1}x_3^{t-1}), \\
\in \; & V,
\end{aligned}$$

Thus $(E_{4,1}+E_{3,2})V\subset V$. Next

$$\begin{aligned}
& (E_{2,1}-E_{3,4}).\big((x_1x_3)^p(x_2x_4)^q(x_1^tx_4^t+x_2^tx_3^t)\big) \\
= \; & -(x_1\partial_{x_2}+x_3\partial_{x_4})[(x_1x_3)^p(x_2x_4)^q(x_1^tx_4^t+x_2^tx_3^t)] \\
= \; & -q(x_1x_3)^p(x_2x_4)^{q-1}x_1x_4(x_1^tx_4^t+x_2^tx_3^t)-t(x_1x_3)^{p+1}(x_2x_4)^qx_2^{t-1}x_3^{t-1} \\
& -q(x_1x_3)^p(x_2x_4)^{q-1}x_2x_3(x_1^tx_4^t+x_2^tx_3^t)-t(x_1x_3)^{p+1}(x_2x_4)^qx_1^{t-1}x_4^{t-1} \\
= \; & -q(x_1x_3)^p(x_2x_4)^{q-1}(x_1^{t+1}x_4^{t+1}+x_2^{t+1}x_3^{t+1})-(q+t)(x_1x_3)^{p+1}(x_2x_4)^q(x_1^{t-1}x_4^{t-1}+x_2^{t-1}x_3^{t-1}) \\
\in \; & V
\end{aligned}$$

that is $(E_{2,1}-E_{3,4}).V\subset V$. Therefore,

$$(E_{2,1}-E_{3,4})^{i_1}(E_{4,1}+E_{3,2})^{i_2}E_{3,1}^{i_3}E_{4,2}^{i_4}.1\in V,\ \text{for all } i_1,i_2,i_3,i_4\geq 0.$$

Hence $U(\mathcal{G}).1=V$.

Similar conclusion for $U(\mathcal{G}).(x_1x_4-x_2x_3)$ can be proved. For any k_1,k_2,k_3,k_4 ($k_1+k_2=k_3+k_4$),

$$\begin{aligned}
& x_1^{k_1}x_2^{k_2}x_3^{k_3}x_4^{k_4} \\
= & \begin{cases}
\frac{1}{2}\big[(x_1x_3)^{k_3}(x_2x_4)^{k_2}(x_1^{k_1-k_3}x_4^{k_1-k_3}+x_2^{k_1-k_3}x_3^{k_1-k_3})+ \\
(x_1x_3)^{k_3}(x_2x_4)^{k_2}(x_1^{k_1-k_3}x_4^{k_1-k_3}-x_2^{k_1-k_3}x_3^{k_1-k_3})\big] & \text{if } k_1>k_3, \\
\frac{1}{2}\big[(x_1x_3)^{k_1}(x_2x_4)^{k_4}(x_1^{k_3-k_1}x_4^{k_3-k_1}+x_2^{k_3-k_1}x_3^{k_3-k_1})- \\
(x_1x_3)^{k_1}(x_2x_4)^{k_4}(x_1^{k_3-k_1}x_4^{k_3-k_1}-x_2^{k_3-k_1}x_3^{k_3-k_1})\big] & \text{if } k_1\leq k_3,
\end{cases}
\end{aligned}\tag{2.10}$$

which implies $\mathcal{A}_{\langle 0\rangle}=U(\mathcal{G}).1+U(\mathcal{G}).(x_1x_4-x_2x_3)$. Finally, we have to show the independence of $\{(x_1x_3)^p(x_2x_4)^q(x_1^tx_4^t+x_2^tx_3^t)|p,q\geq 0,t\geq 0\}$ and $\{(x_1x_3)^p(x_2x_4)^q(x_1^tx_4^t-x_2^tx_3^t)|p,q\geq 0,t>0\}$. Note $\mathcal{A}_{\langle 0\rangle}=\bigoplus_{m\geq 0}\mathcal{A}_{\langle 0\rangle}\bigcap\mathcal{A}_{2m}$. Since

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{A}_{\langle 0\rangle}\bigcap\mathcal{A}_{2m}= & \operatorname{Span}\{(x_1x_3)^p(x_2x_4)^q(x_1^tx_4^t+x_2^tx_3^t)\mid p,q,t\geq 0;p+q+t=m\}\bigcup \\
& \operatorname{Span}\{(x_1x_3)^p(x_2x_4)^q(x_1^tx_4^t-x_2^tx_3^t)\mid p,q\geq 0,t>0;p+q+t=m\}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\dim\mathcal{A}_{\langle 0\rangle}\bigcap\mathcal{A}_{2m}=(m+1)^2,$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
& |\{(x_1x_3)^p(x_2x_4)^q(x_1^tx_4^t+x_2^tx_3^t)\mid p,q,t\geq 0,p+q+t=m\}| \\
& +|\{(x_1x_3)^p(x_2x_4)^q(x_1^tx_4^t-x_2^tx_3^t)\mid p,q\geq 0,t>0,p+q+t=m\}|=(m+1)^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence we are done. $\square$

Case 3.

$$T=\{1,3\},\quad S=\{2,4\},\tag{2.11}$$

In this case, any submodule of $\mathcal{A}$ is not nilpotent with respect to $\mathcal{G}_+$. However, we still have the following lemma.

Lemma 2.8 *The submodules* $\mathcal{A}_{\langle k\rangle}$ $(k\in\mathbb{Z})$ *are irreducible submodules, which are not of highest weight type.*

Proof. Suppose $k\geq 0$. Let N be the submodule generated by x_1^k. Note

$$E_{13}|_{\mathcal{A}}=-x_3\partial_{x_1},$$

$$(E_{21}-E_{34})|_{\mathcal{A}}=-x_1x_2-\partial_{x_3}\partial_{x_4},$$

$$E_{42}|_{\mathcal{A}}=x_4\partial_{x_2}.$$

Indeed, the second operator shows that the submodules $\mathcal{A}_{\langle k\rangle}$ $(k\in\mathbb{Z})$ are not of highest weight type.

For $\forall\ k_1,k_2,k_3,k_4(k_1+k_3-k_2-k_4=k)$,

$$x_1^{k_1}x_2^{k_2}x_3^{k_3}x_4^{k_4}=\frac{(-1)^{k_2+k_3+k_4}k_1!k_2!}{(k_1+k_3)!(k_2+k_4)!}E_{42}^{k_4}E_{13}^{k_3}(E_{21}-E_{34})^{k_2+k_4}.x_1^k. \tag{2.12}$$

Therefore, $\mathcal{A}_{\langle -k\rangle}=N$.

Suppose that N' is a nonzero submodule of $\mathcal{A}_{\langle -k\rangle}$. We will show that $x_1^k\in N'$, which implies $N'=\mathcal{A}_{\langle -k\rangle}$. Assume $0\neq f\in N'$. Since

$$E_{3,1}|_{\mathcal{A}}=-x_1\partial_{x_3},\quad E_{2,4}|_{\mathcal{A}}=x_2\partial_{x_4},$$

we can assume $f=f(x_1,x_2)=\sum\limits_{t=0}^{m}a_tx_1^{k+t}x_2^t$ with $a_m\neq 0$. If $m=0$, we are done. We prove by induction on m. Observe

$$(E_{12}-E_{43})(f)=f_1+f_2$$

with $f_1=\sum\limits_{t=1}^{m}a_t(k+t)tx_1^{k+t-1}x_2^{t-1},\ f_2=\sum\limits_{t=0}^{m}a_tx_1^{k+t}x_2^tx_3x_4$. Moreover,

$$f_3=E_{3,1}^{k+m}E_{1,3}^{k+m+1}E_{3,1}.(f_1+f_2)=E_{3,1}^{k+m}E_{1,3}^{k+m+1}E_{3,1}.(f_2)=cx_1^{k+m}x_2^mx_3x_4$$

for some constant $c\neq 0$. Thus we get $x_1^{k+m}x_2^mx_3x_4\in N'$. Setting $f'=f_1+f_2-a_mx_1^{k+m}x_2^mx_3x_4=f_1+\sum\limits_{t=0}^{m-1}a_tx_1^{k+t}x_2^tx_3x_4$ and repeating above process, we get $f_1\in N'$. Hence $x_1^k\in N'$ by induction.
It can be proved similarly when $k<0$. □

Now we go back to the general case $\mathcal{G}=sp(2n,\mathbb{C})$ for any positive integer n.

Lemma 2.9 *All singular vectors in* $\mathcal{A}$ *should be* $x_n^{k_n},x_{2n}^{k_{2n}}$ *and* $x_{n-1}x_{2n}-x_nx_{2n-1}$ *if* $T=\overline{1,n}$.

Proof. Let $g(x_1, \cdots, x_{2n}) \in \mathcal{A}$ be a singular vector. Set

$$u_i = x_i x_{2n} - x_n x_{n+i}, \quad i \in \overline{1, n-1}.$$

We write

$$g = f(x_1, \cdots, x_n, u_1, \cdots, u_{n-1}, x_{2n}).$$

Since

$$(E_{i,n} - E_{2n,n+i})(f) = -x_n \partial_{x_i}(f) = 0, \quad i \in \overline{1, n-1} \tag{2.13}$$

equivalently,

$$\partial_{x_i}(f) = 0, \quad i \in \overline{1, n-1}. \tag{2.14}$$

Moreover,

$$(E_{ij} - E_{n+j,n+i})(f) = (-x_j x_{2n} + x_{n+j} x_n)\partial_{u_i}(f) = 0, \quad \text{for} \ \ 1 \leq i < j < n, \tag{2.15}$$

i.e.

$$\partial_{u_i}(f) = 0 \quad i \in \overline{1, n-2}. \tag{2.16}$$

Therefore, f is independent of $x_1, \cdots, x_{n-1}, u_1, \cdots, u_{n-2}$. Now according to the representation of $sp(4, \mathbb{C})$ (Case 2), all singular vectors in $\mathcal{A}$ should be $x_n^{k_n}, x_{2n}^{k_{2n}}$ and u_{n-1}. $\square$

Theorem 2.10 *If* $S_1 \bigcup T_1 \neq \emptyset$ *(cf. (2.6)), then* $\mathcal{A}_{\langle k \rangle}$ $(k \in \mathbb{Z})$ *are irreducible.*
a) When $S_1 \neq \emptyset$ *and* $T_1 \neq \emptyset$*, the irreducible modules* $\mathcal{A}_{\langle k \rangle}$ $(k \in \mathbb{Z})$ *are not of highest weight type.*
b) When $S_1 = \emptyset$ *or* $T_1 = \emptyset$*, we can assume* $T = \overline{1, t}$ *for some* $t \in \overline{1, n-1}$*, the subspace* $\mathcal{A}_{\langle k \rangle}$ *is an irreducible highest weight module with the highest weight vector* $x_{t+1}^k (k \geq 0)$ *or* $x_t^{-k} (k < 0)$*, the corresponding highest weight is* $-(k+1)\lambda_t + k\lambda_{t+1}$ *(*$k \geq 0$*) or* $-k\lambda_{t-1} + (k-1)\lambda_t$ *(*$k < 0$*).*

If $S_1 \bigcup T_1 = \emptyset$*, we can assume* $\overline{1, n} = T_2$ *by symmetry. In this case, we have that*
e) $\mathcal{A}_{\langle k \rangle}$ *(resp.* $\mathcal{A}_{\langle -k \rangle}$*) is an irreducible highest weight module with highest weight* $k\lambda_{n-1} - (k+1)\lambda_n$*, a corresponding highest weight vector is* x_{2n}^k *(resp.* x_n^{-k}*) when* $k > 0$*;*
f) $\mathcal{A}_{\langle 0 \rangle} = U(\mathcal{G}).1 \bigoplus U(\mathcal{G}).(x_{n-1}x_{2n} - x_n x_{2n-1})$*. Both* $U(\mathcal{G}).1$ *and* $U(\mathcal{G}).(x_{n-1}x_{2n} - x_n x_{2n-1})$ *are irreducible highest weight modules with the highest weight* $-\lambda_n$ *and* $-2\lambda_n$*, respectively. Moreover,* $U(\mathcal{G}).1$ *has a basis*

$$\begin{aligned}&\{\sum_{r_1+\cdots+r_n=\frac{1}{2}(k_1+\cdots+k_n)} (r_1+\cdots+r_n)! \prod_{t=1}^{n} \tbinom{k_t}{r_t} x_t^{k_t-r_t} x_{n+t}^{r_t} \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} (x_i x_{n+j} - x_j x_{n+i})^{k_{i,j}} \\ &|k_t, k_{i,j} \in \mathbb{N};\ \sum_{t=1}^{n} k_t, \sum k_{i,j} \in 2\mathbb{N};\ k_{i,j}k_t = 0 \, for \, i < j < t;\ k_{i,j}k_{i_1,j_1} = 0 \, for \\ &i < i_1 and \ j > j_1\},\end{aligned} \tag{2.17}$$

while $U(\mathcal{G}).(x_{n-1}x_{2n}-x_nx_{2n-1})$ *has a basis*

$$\{\sum_{r_1+\cdots+r_n=\frac{1}{2}(k_1+\cdots+k_n)}(r_1+\cdots+r_n)!\prod_{t=1}^{n}\binom{k_t}{r_t}x_t^{k_t-r_t}x_{n+t}^{r_t}\prod_{1\le i<j\le n}(x_ix_{n+j}-x_jx_{n+i})^{k_{i,j}}$$
$$|k_t,k_{i,j}\in\mathbb{N};\ \sum_{t=1}^{n}k_t\in 2\mathbb{N},\ \sum k_{i,j}\notin 2\mathbb{N};\ k_{i,j}k_t=0\ for\, i<j<t;\ k_{i,j}k_{i_1,j_1}=0\ for$$
$$i<i_1\ and\ j>j_1\}. \tag{2.18}$$

Proof. We will prove it case by case.

1) If $\overline{1,n}=S_1\bigcup T_1$, then $\mathcal{A}_{\langle k\rangle}$ is irreducible.

Suppose $k\ge 0$. Take $s\in S_1$ and let N be the submodule of $\mathcal{A}_{\langle k\rangle}$ generated by x_s^k. Note

$$(E_{s,j}-E_{n+j,n+s})|_{\mathcal{A}}=-x_sx_j-\partial_{x_{n+j}}\partial_{x_{n+s}},\quad\text{for}\quad j\in T_1,$$

$$E_{i,s}-E_{n+s,n+i}|_{\mathcal{A}}=x_i\partial_{x_s}-x_{n+s}\partial_{x_{n+i}},\quad\text{for}\quad i\in S_1,i\neq s,$$

$$E_{n+i,i}|_{\mathcal{A}}=x_{n+i}\partial_{x_i},\ \text{if}\quad i\in S_1,$$

$$E_{j,n+j}|_{\mathcal{A}}=-x_{n+j}\partial_{x_j},\quad\text{if}\quad j\in T_1.$$

Hence $\mathcal{A}$ is not nilpotent with respect to $\mathcal{G}_+$. For all $\alpha\in\mathbb{N}^{2n}$ such that $\sum\limits_{i\in S}\alpha_i-\sum\limits_{j\in T}\alpha_j=k$,

$$x^\alpha=cE_{n+s,s}^{\alpha_{n+s}}\prod_{i\in S_1,i\neq s}E_{n+i,i}^{\alpha_{n+i}}(E_{i,s}-E_{n+s,n+i})^{\alpha_i+\alpha_{n+i}}\prod_{j\in T_1}(-E_{n+j,j})^{\alpha_{n+j}}(E_{s,j}-E_{n+j,n+s})^{\alpha_j+\alpha_{n+j}}.x_s^k$$

for some $c\in\mathbb{C}$. Therefore, $x^\alpha\in N$. Hence $N=\mathcal{A}_{\langle k\rangle}$.

Suppose N' is a nonzero submodule of $\mathcal{A}_{\langle k\rangle}$ and $0\neq f\in N'$. Since

$$E_{i,n+i}|_{\mathcal{A}}=x_i\partial_{x_{n+i}}\ \text{if}\quad i\in S_1,$$

and

$$E_{n+j,j}|_{\mathcal{A}}=-x_j\partial_{x_{n+j}}\quad\text{if}\quad j\in T_1,$$

we can assume f is independent of $x_{n+1},\cdots,x_{2n}$. Take $t\in T_1$. Observe

$$(E_{s,i}-E_{n+i,n+s})(f)=x_s\partial_{x_i}(f),\quad i\in S_1,i\neq s$$

$$(E_{j,t}-E_{n+t,n+j})(f)=-x_t\partial_{x_j}(f),\quad j\in T_1,j\neq t.$$

So we can further assume $f=f(x_s,x_t)$. By the same argument as $sp(4,\mathbb{C})$ (Case 3), we get $x_s^k\in N'$, which implies $\mathcal{A}_{\langle k\rangle}=N'$.

It is similar for $k<0$.

2) If $\overline{1,n}=S_1\bigcup T_2$, then $\mathcal{A}_{\langle k\rangle}$ is irreducible.

We assume $T_2 = \overline{1,t}$ for some $t \in \overline{1,n-1}$. Any singular vector in $\mathcal{A}$ must be a polynomial function in x_t, x_{t+1}, x_{n+t} (and $u = x_{t-1}x_{n+t} - x_t x_{n+t-1}$ if $t > 1$). Suppose $f(x_t, x_{n+t}, x_{t+1}, u)$ is a singular vector. Since

$$(E_{t-1,n+t+1} + E_{t+1,n+t-1})(f) = -x_t x_{t+1}\partial_u(f) = 0,$$

f is independent of u. By the same argument as in $sp(4,\mathbb{C})$ (Case 1), we get that all singular vectors should be $x_t^{k_t}, x_{t+1}^{k_{t+1}}$. Since

$$E_{i,j} - E_{n+j,n+i}\mid_{\mathcal{A}} = \begin{cases} -x_j\partial_{x_i} - x_{n+j}\partial_{x_{n+i}} & \text{if } \ 1 \le i < j \le t, \\ \partial_{x_i}\partial_{x_j} - x_{n+j}\partial_{x_{n+i}} & \text{if } \ 1 \le i \le t < j \le n, \\ x_i\partial_{x_j} - x_{n+j}\partial_{x_{n+i}} & \text{if } \ t < i < j \le n, \end{cases}$$

$$E_{i,n+j} + E_{j,n+i}\mid_{\mathcal{A}} = \begin{cases} \partial_{x_i}\partial_{x_{n+j}} + \partial_{x_j}\partial_{x_{n+i}} & \text{if } \ 1 \le i,j \le t, \\ \partial_{x_i}\partial_{x_{n+j}} + x_j\partial_{x_{n+i}} & \text{if } \ 1 \le i \le t < j \le n, \\ x_i\partial_{x_{n+j}} + x_j\partial_{x_{n+i}} & \text{if } \ t < i,j \le n, \end{cases}$$

and

$$E_{i,n+i}\mid_{\mathcal{A}} = \begin{cases} \partial_{x_i}\partial_{x_{n+i}} & \text{if } \ 1 \le i \le t, \\ x_i\partial_{x_{n+i}} & \text{if } \ t < i \le n, \end{cases}$$

$\mathcal{A}$ is nilpotent with respect to $\mathcal{G}_+$. Therefore, $\mathcal{A}_{\langle k\rangle}$ is an irreducible highest weight module with the highest weight vector $x_{t+1}^k (k \ge 0)$ or $x_t^{-k} (k < 0)$, the corresponding highest weight is $-(k+1)\lambda_t + k\lambda_{t+1} (k \ge 0)$ or $-k\lambda_{t-1} + (k-1)\lambda_t (k < 0)$.

3) If $\overline{1,n} = S_1 \bigcup T_1 \bigcup T_2$, then $\mathcal{A}_{\langle k\rangle}$ is irreducible.

In this case, $\mathcal{A}$ does not contain a singular vector. Take $s \in S_1$ and $t \in T_1$. Let N be the submodule generated by x_s^k (if $k \ge 0$; x_t^{-k} if $k < 0$). $N = \mathcal{A}_{\langle k\rangle}$ by 1) and 2). Now suppose N' is a nonzero submodule of $\mathcal{A}_{\langle k\rangle}$ and $0 \ne f \in N'$. By the same argument as 1), we can assume that f is a polynomial function in $x_s, x_t, x_i, x_{n+i}, i \in T_2$. Since

$$(E_{i,t} - E_{n+t,n+i})(f) = -x_t\partial_{x_i}(f), i \in T_2$$

and

$$(E_{s,n+i} + E_{i,n+s})(f) = x_s\partial_{x_{n+i}}(f), i \in T_2,$$

we can get an $f' = f'(x_s, x_t) \in N'$. Now $N' = \mathcal{A}_{\langle k\rangle}$ by the same argument as in 1).

4) $\overline{1,n} = T_2$.

In this case, $A_{\langle k\rangle}$ $(k \ne 0)$ has only one singular vector (x_{2n}^k if $k > 0$ and x_n^{-k} if $k < 0$) by Lemma 2.9, hence irreducible by Lemma 2.3.

For technical convenience, we will prove b) in Section 5.

$\square$

3 Nocanonical Representation of $so(2n,\mathbb{C})$

In this section, we investigate some noncanonical representations of the special orthogonal Lie algebra $so(2n,\mathbb{C})$ defined via (2.1). We decompose $\mathcal{A}=\mathbb{C}[x_1,....,x_{2n}]$ into a direct sum of irreducible submodules and give a basis for such submodules.

Denote by $\mathcal{G}$ the Lie algebra

$$so(2n,\mathbb{C})=\sum_{i,j=1}^{n}\mathbb{C}(E_{i,j}-E_{n+j,n+i})+\sum_{1\leq i<j\leq n}[\mathbb{C}(E_{i,n+j}-E_{j,n+i})+\mathbb{C}(E_{n+j,i}-E_{n+i,j})], \quad (3.1)$$

which acts on $\mathcal{A}$ via (2.1). Let

$$H=\sum_{i=1}^{n}\mathbb{C}(E_{i,i}-E_{n+i,n+i})$$

be a Cartan subalgebra and take $\{E_{i,j}-E_{n+j,n+i},E_{i,n+j}-E_{j,n+i}\mid 1\leq i<j\leq n\}$ as positive root vectors, which span a Lie subalgebra $\mathcal{G}_+$. Denote

$$h_i=E_{i,i}-E_{n+i,n+i}-E_{i+1,i+1}+E_{n+i+1,n+i+1}\ \text{ for }\ i\in\overline{1,n-1},$$

$$h_n=E_{n-1,n-1}-E_{2n-1,2n-1}+E_{n,n}-E_{2n,2n},$$

the fundamental weights $\lambda_1,\cdots,\lambda_n$ are linear functions on H such that $\lambda_i(h_j)=\delta_{i,j}$. Recall

$$\begin{aligned}S_1&=\{i\in\overline{1,n}\mid i\in S,\ n+i\in S\},\\ S_2&=\{i\in\overline{1,n}\mid i\in S,\ n+i\in T\},\\ T_1&=\{i\in\overline{1,n}\mid i\in T,\ n+i\in T\},\\ T_2&=\{i\in\overline{1,n}\mid i\in T,\ n+i\in S\},\end{aligned}\quad (3.2)$$

and

$$A_{\langle k\rangle}=\text{Span}\,\{x^{\alpha}|\sum_{i\in S}\alpha_i-\sum_{i\in T}\alpha_i=k\}.$$

Set

$$\Delta=\sum_{i\in S_1}\partial_{x_i}\partial_{x_{n+i}}+\sum_{i\in T_1}x_ix_{n+i}-\sum_{i\in S_2}x_{n+i}\partial_{x_i}-\sum_{i\in T_2}x_i\partial_{x_{n+i}}, \quad (3.3)$$

$$\eta=\sum_{i\in S_1}x_ix_{n+i}+\sum_{i\in T_1}\partial_{x_i}\partial_{x_{n+i}}+\sum_{i\in S_2}x_i\partial_{x_{n+i}}+\sum_{i\in T_2}x_{n+i}\partial_{x_i}. \quad (3.4)$$

Then we have

$$\Delta g=g\Delta\ \text{ and }\ g\eta=\eta g\ \text{ for }\ g\in so(2n,\mathbb{C}), \quad (3.5)$$

as operators on $\mathcal{A}$ and

$$\Delta A_{\langle k\rangle}\subset\mathcal{A}_{\langle k-2\rangle},\ \ \eta\mathcal{A}_{\langle k\rangle}\subset\mathcal{A}_{\langle k+2\rangle}\ \text{ for }\ k\in\mathbb{Z}. \quad (3.6)$$

Denote

$$\mathcal{H}_{\langle k\rangle}=\{f\in\mathcal{A}_{\langle k\rangle}|\Delta(f)=0\}. \quad (3.7)$$

If $\overline{1,n}=S_1$, we get the canonical polynomial representation of $so(2n,\mathbb{C})$. Before we start to study the noncanonical polynomial representations, we first quote a useful lemma found by Xu [8].

Lemma 3.1 *Suppose $\mathcal{A}$ is a free module over a subalgebra B generated by a filtrated subspace $V=\bigcup_{r=0}^{\infty}V_r$ (i.e., $V_r\subset V_{r+1}$). Let $\mathcal{T}_1$ be a linear operator on $\mathcal{A}$ with a right inverse $\mathcal{T}_1^-$ such that*

$$\mathcal{T}_1(B),\mathcal{T}_1^-(B)\subset B,\quad \mathcal{T}_1(\eta_1\eta_2)=\mathcal{T}_1(\eta_1)\eta_2,\quad \mathcal{T}_1^-(\eta_1\eta_2)=\mathcal{T}_1^-(\eta_1)\eta_2 \tag{3.8}$$

for $\eta_1\in B$, $\eta_2\in V$, and let $\mathcal{T}_2$ be a linear operator on $\mathcal{A}$ such that

$$\mathcal{T}_2(V_{r+1})\subset BV_r,\quad \mathcal{T}_2(f\zeta)=f\mathcal{T}_2(\zeta)\ \ for\ \ 0\leq r\in\mathbb{Z},\quad f\in B,\quad \zeta\in\mathcal{A}. \tag{3.9}$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\{g\in\mathcal{A}\mid(\mathcal{T}_1+\mathcal{T}_2)(g)=0\}\\ =\ &Span\,\{\sum_{i=0}^{\infty}(-\mathcal{T}_1^-\mathcal{T}_2)^i(hg)|g\in V,h\in B;\mathcal{T}_1(h)=0\},\end{aligned} \tag{3.10}$$

where the summation is finite under our assumption.

Now we assume $\overline{1,n}\neq S_1$ and investigate noncanonical polynomial representations of $so(2n,\mathbb{C})$ via (2.1) according to the following cases.

Case 1. $\overline{1,n}=T_2$

In this case, $\Delta=-\sum\limits_{i=1}^{n}x_i\partial_{x_{n+i}}$ and $\eta=\sum\limits_{i=1}^{n}x_{n+i}\partial_{x_i}$.

Lemma 3.2 *All singular vectors in $\mathcal{A}$ are $x_n^{k_n}x_{2n}^{k_{2n}}$ ($k_n,k_{2n}\in\mathbb{N}$).*

Proof. Let $g\in\mathcal{A}$ be a singular vector. Set $u_i=x_ix_{2n}-x_nx_{n+i}$ for $i\in\overline{1,n-1}$. Rewrite

$$g=f(x_1,\cdots,x_n,u_1,\cdots,u_{n-1},x_{2n}).$$

Note

$$(E_{i,n}-E_{2n,n+i})(f)=-x_n\partial_{x_i}(f)=0,\quad\text{for}\ \ i\in\overline{1,n-1},$$

that is

$$\partial_{x_i}(f)=0,\quad\text{for}\ \ i\in\overline{1,n-1}.$$

Moreover,

$$(E_{i,j}-E_{n+j,n+i})(f)=(-x_jx_{2n}+x_{n+j}x_n)\partial_{u_i}(f)=0,\quad\text{for}\ \ 1\leq i<j\leq n-1,$$

which implies

$$\partial_{u_i}(f)=0,\quad\text{for}\ \ 1\leq i\leq n-2.$$

Therefore, f is independent of $x_1,\cdots,x_{n-1},u_1,\cdots,u_{n-2}$. Furthermore,

$$(E_{n-1,2n}-E_{n,2n-1})(f)=(x_n\partial_{x_n}+x_{2n}\partial_{x_{2n}}+u_{n-1}\partial_{u_{n-1}}+2)\partial_{u_{n-1}}(f)=0.$$

So

$$\partial_{u_{n-1}}(f) = 0.$$

Hence we are done. $\square$

Set

$$\mathcal{H}'_{\langle k\rangle} = \{f \in \mathcal{A}_{\langle k\rangle} | \eta(f) = 0\}. \tag{3.11}$$

Theorem 3.3 *If $k \geq 0$, then $\mathcal{A}_{\langle k\rangle} = \mathcal{H}'_{\langle k\rangle} \bigoplus \Delta\mathcal{A}_{\langle k+2\rangle}$ and $\mathcal{H}'_{\langle k\rangle}$ is an irreducible highest weight module with the highest weight $k\lambda_{n-1}-(k+2)\lambda_n$ and a corresponding highest weight vector x_{2n}^k. Moreover,*

$$\begin{aligned}&\{\prod_{t=1}^{n} x_{n+t}^{k_t} \prod_{1\leq i<j\leq n} (x_i x_{n+j} - x_j x_{n+i})^{k_{i,j}} | k_t, k_{i,j} \in \mathbb{N};\ \sum_{t=1}^{n} k_t = k;\\ &k_{i,j}k_t = 0 \text{ for } i<j<t; k_{i,j}k_{i_1,j_1} = 0 \text{ for } i<i_1 \text{ and } j>j_1\}\end{aligned} \tag{3.12}$$

forms a basis of $\mathcal{H}'_k$.

If $k < 0$, then $\mathcal{A}_{\langle k\rangle} = \mathcal{H}_{\langle k\rangle} \bigoplus \eta\mathcal{A}_{\langle k-2\rangle}$ (cf. (3.7)) and $\mathcal{H}_{\langle k\rangle}$ is an irreducible highest weight module with highest weight $-k\lambda_{n-1} + (k-2)\lambda_n$ and a corresponding highest weight vector x_n^{-k}. Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned}&\{\prod_{t=1}^{n} x_t^{k_t} \prod_{1\leq i<j\leq n} (x_i x_{n+j} - x_j x_{n+i})^{k_{i,j}} | k_t, k_{i,j} \in \mathbb{N};\ \sum_{t=1}^{n} k_t = -k;\\ &k_{i,j}k_t = 0 \text{ for } i<j<t;\ k_{i,j}k_{i_1,j_1} = 0 \text{ for } i<i_1 \text{ and } j>j_1\}\end{aligned} \tag{3.13}$$

forms a basis of $\mathcal{H}_{\langle k\rangle}$.

Proof. Note

$$E_{i,j} - E_{n+j,n+i} \,|_{\mathcal{A}} = -x_j\partial_{x_i} - x_{n+j}\partial_{x_{n+i}}, \quad E_{i,n+j} - E_{j,n+i} \,|_{\mathcal{A}} = \partial_{x_i}\partial_{x_{n+j}} - \partial_{x_j}\partial_{x_{n+i}}$$

for $1 \leq i < j \leq n$. So $\mathcal{A}$ is nilpotent with respect to $\mathcal{G}_+$. Suppose $k \geq 0$. Solving the characteristic equations of the partial differential equation $(\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{n+i}\partial_{x_i})(f) = 0$, we have

$$\mathcal{H}'_{\langle k\rangle} \supset W = \text{Span}\,\{\prod_{t=1}^{n} x_{n+t}^{k_t} \prod_{1\leq i<j\leq n} (x_i x_{n+j} - x_j x_{n+i})^{k_{i,j}} | k_t, k_{i,j} \in \mathbb{N}, \sum_{t=1}^{n} k_t = k\}.$$

All singular vectors in $\mathcal{A}_{\langle k\rangle}$ are $x_n^{k_n} x_{2n}^{k+k_n} (k_n \in \mathbb{N})$ by Lemma 3.2. Since

$$\eta(x_n^{k_n} x_{2n}^{k+k_n}) = k_n x_n^{k_n-1} x_{2n}^{k+k_n+1},$$

$\mathcal{H}'_{\langle k\rangle}$ contains only one singular vector x_{2n}^k which is an element of the righthand side of the above first expression. Therefore, $\mathcal{H}'_{\langle k\rangle} = U(\mathcal{G}).x_{2n}^k$ is irreducible according to Lemma 2.3. Set

Note

$$\begin{aligned}&(E_{n+p,q} - E_{n+q,p})(\prod_{t=1}^{n} x_{n+t}^{k_t} \prod_{1\leq i<j\leq n} (x_i x_{n+j} - x_j x_{n+i})^{k_{i,j}})\\ &= \prod_{t=1}^{n} x_{n+t}^{k_t} \prod_{1\leq i<j\leq n} (x_i x_{n+j} - x_j x_{n+i})^{k_{i,j}} (x_p x_{n+q} - x_q x_{n+p}) \in W,\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& (E_{q,p} - E_{n+p,n+q}) \prod_{t=1}^{n} x_{n+t}^{k_t} \prod_{1\leq i<j\leq n} (x_i x_{n+j} - x_j x_{n+i})^{k_{i,j}} \\
= \ & -k_q x_{n+p} x_{n+q}^{k_q - 1} \prod_{1\leq t\leq n, t\neq q} x_{n+t}^{k_t} \prod_{1\leq i<j\leq n} (x_i x_{n+j} - x_j x_{n+i})^{k_{i,j}} - \sum_{r=q+1}^{n} k_{q,r} (x_p x_{n+r} - x_r x_{n+p}) \\
& \times (x_q x_{n+r} - x_r x_{n+q})^{k_{q,r}-1} \prod_{t=1}^{n} x_{n+t}^{k_t} \prod_{1\leq i<j\leq n, (i,j)\neq(q,r)} (x_i x_{n+j} - x_j x_{n+i})^{k_{i,j}} - \sum_{l=1}^{q-1} k_{l,q} \\
& \times (x_l x_{n+p} - x_p x_{n+l})(x_l x_{n+q} - x_q x_{n+l})^{k_{l,q}-1} \prod_{t=1}^{n} x_{n+t}^{k_t} \prod_{1\leq i<j\leq n, (i,j)\neq(l,q)} (x_i x_{n+j} - x_j x_{n+i})^{k_{i,j}} \\
\in \ & W.
\end{aligned}$$

So we have $\mathcal{H}'_{\langle k\rangle} = U(\mathcal{G}).x_{2n}^k = U(\mathcal{G}_-).x_{2n}^k \subset W$. Thus $\mathcal{H}'_{\langle k\rangle} = W$.

Since $x_{2n}^k \notin \Delta\mathcal{A}_{\langle k+2\rangle}$, $\mathcal{H}'_{\langle k\rangle} \bigcap \Delta\mathcal{A}_{\langle k+2\rangle} = \{0\}$.

Set

$$V_{k,m} = \text{Span}\,\{x^\alpha \in \mathcal{A}_{\langle k\rangle} | \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_{n+i} = m\}$$

and $\varphi = (\Delta\eta)|_{V_{k,m}}$. Then $\mathcal{A}_{\langle k\rangle} = \bigoplus_{m=0}^{\infty} V_{k,m}$. Moreover, each $V_{k,m}$ is finite-dimensional and φ is a linear map from $V_{k,m}$ to itself. Since $\Delta = -\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i \partial_{x_{n+i}}$ and $\eta = \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{n+i}\partial_{x_i}$, swapping x_i and x_{n+i} with $i \in \overline{1,n}$ yields

$$\mathcal{H}_{\langle k\rangle} = \text{Span}\,\{\prod_{t=0} x_t^{k_t} \prod_{1\leq i<j\leq n} (x_i x_{n+j} - x_j x_{n+i})^{k_{i,j}} \mid k_t, k_{i,j} \in \mathbb{N}; \sum k_t = -k\}$$

(cf. (3.7)). So $\mathcal{H}_{\langle k\rangle} = 0$ when $k > 0$. Hence

$$\text{Ker}\,\varphi = \text{Ker}\,\eta|_{V_{k,m}} \subset \mathcal{H}'_{\langle k\rangle}.$$

Furthermore, $\text{Im}\,\varphi \subset \Delta V_{k+2,m+1}$. Therefore,

$$V_{k,m} \subset \mathcal{H}'_{\langle k\rangle} + \Delta\mathcal{A}_{\langle k+2\rangle},$$

which implies $\mathcal{A}_{\langle k\rangle} = \mathcal{H}'_{\langle k\rangle} \bigoplus \Delta\mathcal{A}_{\langle k+2\rangle}$.

We still have to show that (3.12) is a basis of $\mathcal{H}'_{\langle k\rangle}$. Set

$$\mathcal{H}'_{\langle k,m\rangle} = \mathbb{C}[x_1, x_{n+1}, \cdots, x_m, x_{n+m}] \bigcap \mathcal{H}'_{\langle k\rangle} \tag{3.14}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
B_{k,m} \ = \ & \{\prod_{t=1}^{m} x_{n+t}^{k_t} \prod_{1\leq i<j\leq m} (x_i x_{n+j} - x_j x_{n+i})^{k_{i,j}} \mid k_t, k_{i,j} \in \mathbb{N}; \sum_{t=1}^{m} k_t = k; \\
& k_{i,j} k_t = 0 \textit{ for } i < j < t;\ k_{i,j} k_{i_1,j_1} = 0 \textit{ for } i < i_1 \textit{ and } j > j_1\}
\end{aligned} \tag{3.15}$$

for $m = 2, \cdots, n$. Obviously, $B_{k,2}$ forms a basis of $\mathcal{H}'_{\langle k,2\rangle}$ for all $k \geq 0$. Assume $B_{k,m-1}$ is a basis of $\mathcal{H}'_{\langle k,m-1\rangle}$ for all $k \geq 0$, we will show that $\mathcal{H}'_{\langle k,m\rangle} = \text{Span}\,B_{k,m}$, and $B_{k,m}$ is linearly independent.

Let

$$\begin{aligned}
I \ = \ & \{\vec{k} = (k_1, \cdots, k_m, k_{1,2}, \cdots, k_{1,m}, k_{2,3}, \cdots, k_{m-1,m}) \in \mathbb{N}^{\frac{m(m+1)}{2}} \mid k_{i,j} k_t = 0 \\
& \textit{for } i < j < t; k_{i,j} k_{i_1,j_1} = 0 \textit{ for } i < i_1 \textit{ and } j > j_1; \sum_{t=1}^{m} k_t = k\}.
\end{aligned}$$

Suppose

$$\sum_{\vec{k}\in I} a_{\vec{k}} \prod_{t=1}^{m} x_{n+t}^{k_t} \prod_{1\le i<j\le m} (x_i x_{n+j} - x_j x_{n+i})^{k_{i,j}} = 0.$$

Let $d = \max\{\sum_{j=2}^{m} k_{1,j} | a_{\vec{k}} \neq 0\}$. If $d = 0$, then $a_{\vec{k}} = 0$ by inductive assumption. Otherwise, for each fixed $\iota \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\sum_{\vec{k}\in I,\, k_1=\iota,\, \sum k_{1,j}=d} a_{\vec{k}} \prod_{t=2}^{m} x_{n+t}^{k_t+k_{1,t}} \prod_{2\le i<j\le m} (x_i x_{n+j} - x_j x_{n+i})^{k_{i,j}} = 0.$$

Suppose $\vec{k} \in I$ such that $k_1 = \iota$, $\sum_{j=2}^{m} k_{1,j} = d$ and $a_{\vec{k}} \neq 0$. Set $c_t = k_t + k_{1,t}, t \in \overline{2,m}$ and denote

$$\begin{aligned} I(\vec{k}) \quad = \quad & \{\vec{k}' \in I \mid k'_1 = \iota; k'_t + k'_{1,t} = c_t, \text{ for } t \in \overline{2,m}; \\ & k'_{i,j} = k_{i,j} \text{ for } 2 \le i < j \le m; a_{\vec{k}'} \neq 0\}. \end{aligned}$$

Then we have

$$\sum_{\vec{k}'\in I(\vec{k})} a_{\vec{k}'} = 0.$$

Define $t_0 = \min\{t \mid \iota + \sum_{i=2}^{t} c_t > k\}$, whose existence is guaranteed by $\iota + \sum_{i=2}^{m} c_t = k + d > k$. Pick $\forall \vec{k}' \in I(\vec{k})$ and denote $j_0 = \min\{j \mid k'_{1,j} > 0\}$. In particular, $k'_{1,t} = 0$ and $k'_t = c_t$ for $2 \le t < j_0$. Moreover, $k'_{1,j_0} > 0$ implies that $k'_t = 0$ and $k'_{1,t} = k_t + k_{1,t} = c_t$ for $t > j_0$ by the definition of the set I. So $k'_{j_0} = k - \sum_{t=2}^{j_0-1} c_t - \iota \ge 0$, which shows $j_0 \le t_0$. On the other hand, $k'_{1,j_0} = c_{j_0} - k'_{j_0} = \iota + \sum_{t=2}^{j_0} c_t - k > 0$. Hence $j_0 \ge t_0$. Thus $j_0 = t_0$. Now

$$\begin{cases} k'_t = c_t, k'_{1,t} = 0, \quad if \quad 2 \le t < t_0, \\ k'_{t_0} = k - \sum_{t=2}^{t_0-1} c_t - k_1, k'_{1,t_0} = \sum_{t=2}^{t_0} c_t + k_1 - k \\ k'_t = 0, k'_{1,t} = c_t, \quad if \quad t > t_0, \end{cases}$$

that is, $\vec{k}'$ is uniquely determined by $\vec{k}$. Therefore, $I(\vec{k}) = \{\vec{k}\}$, which implies $a_{\vec{k}} = 0$. This leads a contradiction. So $B_{k,m}$ is linearly independent.

For any

$$f = \prod_{t=1}^{m} x_{n+t}^{k_t} \prod_{1\le i<j\le m} (x_i x_{n+j} - x_j x_{n+i})^{k_{i,j}} \in \mathcal{H}'_{\langle k,m\rangle},$$

we can assume $k_{i,j} k_m = 0$ for all $1 \le i < j \le m-1$ because

$$(x_i x_{n+j} - x_j x_{n+i}) x_{n+m} = (x_i x_{n+m} - x_m x_{n+i}) x_{n+j} - (x_j x_{n+m} - x_m x_{n+j}) x_{n+i}.$$

If $k_{i,j} = 0$ for all $1 \le i < j \le m-1$, then $f \in B_{k,m}$. When $k_m = 0$, we can assume

$$\prod_{t=1}^{m-1} x_{n+t}^{k_t} \prod_{1\le i<j\le m-1} (x_i x_{n+j} - x_j x_{n+i})^{k_{i,j}} \in \text{Span}\, B_{k,m-1},$$

by inductive assumption. Hence

$$\prod_{t=1}^{m-1} x_{n+t}^{k_t} \prod_{1\le i<j\le m-1} (x_i x_{n+j} - x_j x_{n+i})^{k_{i,j}} (x_{m-1} x_{n+m} - x_m x_{n+m-1})^{k_{m-1,m}} \in \text{Span}\, B_{k,m}. \tag{3.16}$$

Assume

$$\prod_{t=1}^{m-1} x_{n+t}^{k_t} \prod_{1\le i<j\le m-1} (x_i x_{n+j} - x_j x_{n+i})^{k_{i,j}} \prod_{r=p+1}^{m-1} (x_r x_{n+m} - x_m x_{n+r})^{k_{r,m}} \in \text{Span}\, B_{k,m},$$

we want to show that

$$\prod_{t=1}^{m-1} x_{n+t}^{k_t} \prod_{1\le i<j\le m-1} (x_i x_{n+j} - x_j x_{n+i})^{k_{i,j}} \prod_{r=p}^{m-1} (x_r x_{n+m} - x_m x_{n+r})^{k_{r,m}} \in \text{Span}\, B_{k,m}. \tag{3.17}$$

If $k_{p,m} = 0$ or $k_{i,j} = 0$ for $p < i < j < m$, then the above expression naturally holds by the definition of $B_{k,m}$. Otherwise, $k_{p,m} > 0$ and $k_{i_0,j_0} > 0$ for some $p < i_0 < j_0 < m$.

$$\begin{aligned}
&\prod_{t=1}^{m-1} x_{n+t}^{k_t} \prod_{1\le i<j\le m-1} (x_i x_{n+j} - x_j x_{n+i})^{k_{i,j}} \prod_{i=p}^{m-1} (x_i x_{n+m} - x_m x_{n+i})^{k_{i,m}} \\
= &\prod_{t=1}^{m-1} x_{n+t}^{k_t} \prod_{1\le i<j\le m-1, (i,j)\neq(i_0,j_0)} (x_i x_{n+j} - x_j x_{n+i})^{k_{i,j}} \prod_{i=p+1}^{m-1} (x_i x_{n+m} - x_m x_{n+i})^{k_{i,m}} \\
&\times (x_{i_0} x_{n+j_0} - x_{j_0} x_{n+i_0})^{k_{i_0,j_0}-1} (x_p x_{n+m} - x_m x_{n+p})^{k_{p,m}-1} [(x_p x_{n+j_0} - x_{j_0} x_{n+p}) \\
&\times (x_{i_0} x_{n+m} - x_m x_{n+i_0}) - (x_p x_{n+i_0} - x_{i_0} x_{n+p})(x_{j_0} x_{n+m} - x_m x_{n+j_0})] \in \text{Span}\, B_{k,m}
\end{aligned}$$

by induction on $\min\{k_{m,p}, \sum_{p<i<j<m} k_{i,j}\}$. Therefore,

$$\prod_{t=1}^{m} x_{n+t}^{k_t} \prod_{1\le i<j\le m} (x_i x_{n+j} - x_j x_{n+i})^{k_{i,j}} \in \text{Span}\, B_{k,m}, \tag{3.18}$$

which implies $\mathcal{H}'_{\langle k,m\rangle} = \text{Span}\, B_{k,m}$ because of

$$\mathcal{H}'_{\langle k,m\rangle} = \text{Span}\, \{\prod_{t=1}^{m} x_{n+t}^{k_t} \prod_{1\le i<j\le m} (x_i x_{n+j} - x_j x_{n+i})^{k_{i,j}} \mid \sum k_t = k\}.$$

By induction $B_{k,n}$ is a basis of $\mathcal{H}'_{\langle k,n\rangle} = \mathcal{H}'_{\langle k\rangle}$.

The conclusion for $k < 0$ can be proved similarly. □

Case 2. $\overline{1,n} = T_2 \bigcup S_1$

We can always assume $T_2 = \overline{1,s}$ for some $s \in \overline{1,n-1}$ by symmetry. Suppose $f \in \mathcal{A}$ is a singular vector. Set

$$\mathcal{G}^1 = \sum_{i,j=1}^{s} \mathbb{C}(E_{i,j} - E_{n+j,n+i}) + \sum_{1\le i<j\le s} [\mathbb{C}(E_{i,n+j} - E_{j,n+i}) + \mathbb{C}(E_{n+j,i} - E_{n+i,j})]. \tag{3.19}$$

$$\mathcal{G}^2=\sum_{i,j=s+1}^{n}\mathbb{C}(E_{i,j}-E_{n+j,n+i})+\sum_{s+1\leq i<j\leq n}[\mathbb{C}(E_{i,n+j}-E_{j,n+i})+\mathbb{C}(E_{n+j,i}-E_{n+i,j})]. \quad (3.20)$$

Then f is also a singular vector if we view $\mathcal{A}$ as a $\mathcal{G}^1$-module. So f is independent of $x_1, x_{n+1}, \cdots, x_{s-1}, x_{n+s-1}$ according to Case 1. We continue our discussion according to two subcases as follows.

1) $s=n-1$.

In this subcase,

$$\Delta=-\sum_{i=1}^{n-1}x_i\partial_{x_{n+i}}+\partial_{x_n}\partial_{x_{2n}},$$

$$\eta=\sum_{i=1}^{n-1}x_{n+i}\partial_{x_i}+x_nx_{2n}.$$

Note

$$(E_{n-1,n}-E_{2n,2n-1})(f)=(\partial_{x_{n-1}}\partial_{x_n}-x_{2n}\partial_{x_{2n-1}})(f)=0,$$

$$(E_{n-1,2n}-E_{n,2n-1})(f)=(\partial_{x_{n-1}}\partial_{x_{2n}}-x_n\partial_{x_{2n-1}})(f)=0.$$

Solving the first equation, we get

$$f\in\mathrm{Span}\{\sum_{t=0}^{k_{2n-1}}\frac{k_n!k_{n-1}!k_{2n-1}!}{(k_n+t)!(k_{n-1}+t)!(k_{2n-1}-t)!}x_n^{k_n+t}x_{n-1}^{k_{n-1}+t}x_{2n-1}^{k_{2n-1}-t}x_{2n}^{k_{2n}+t}\mid k_nk_{n-1}=0\}$$

by Lemma 3.1. Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned}
&(\partial_{x_{n-1}}\partial_{x_{2n}}-x_n\partial_{x_{2n-1}})(\sum_{t=0}^{k_{2n-1}}\tfrac{k_n!k_{n-1}!k_{2n-1}!}{(k_n+t)!(k_{n-1}+t)!(k_{2n-1}-t)!}x_n^{k_n+t}x_{n-1}^{k_{n-1}+t}x_{2n-1}^{k_{2n-1}-t}x_{2n}^{k_{2n}+t})\\
= &\sum_{t=0}^{k_{2n-1}}\tfrac{k_n!k_{n-1}!k_{2n-1}!(k_{2n}+t)}{(k_n+t)!(k_{n-1}+t-1)!(k_{2n-1}-t)!}x_n^{k_n+t}x_{n-1}^{k_{n-1}+t-1}x_{2n-1}^{k_{2n-1}-t}x_{2n}^{k_{2n}+t-1}\\
&-\sum_{t=0}^{k_{2n-1}-1}\tfrac{k_n!k_{n-1}!k_{2n-1}!}{(k_n+t)!(k_{n-1}+t)!(k_{2n-1}-t-1)!}x_n^{k_n+t+1}x_{n-1}^{k_{n-1}+t}x_{2n-1}^{k_{2n-1}-t-1}x_{2n}^{k_{2n}+t}\\
= &(k_{2n}-k_n)\sum_{t=1}^{k_{2n-1}}\tfrac{k_n!k_{n-1}!k_{2n-1}!}{(k_n+t)!(k_{n-1}+t-1)!(k_{2n-1}-t)!}x_n^{k_n+t}x_{n-1}^{k_{n-1}+t-1}x_{2n-1}^{k_{2n-1}-t}x_{2n}^{k_{2n}+t-1}\\
&+k_{n-1}k_{2n}x_n^{k_n}x_{n-1}^{k_{n-1}-1}x_{2n-1}^{k_{2n-1}}x_{2n}^{k_{2n}-1}\\
= &0
\end{aligned}$$

if and only if

$$k_{2n-1}=k_{n-1}k_{2n}=0 \text{ or } k_{2n}=k_n=0 \text{ or } \begin{cases} k_{2n}=k_n\\ k_{n-1}=0.\end{cases}$$

Therefore, $f=x_n^{k_n}x_{2n}^{k_{2n}}$ or $\eta^lx_{n-1}^{k_{n-1}}$. Thus all singular vectors in $\mathcal{A}$ are $x_n^{k_n}x_{2n}^{k-k_n}(k_n\in\overline{0,k})$ and $\eta^lx_{n-1}^{2l-k}$. Moreover,

$$\Delta(x_n^{k_n}x_{2n}^{k-k_n})=0 \ \text{ iff } \ k_n(k-k_n)=0, \quad (3.21)$$

$$\Delta(\eta^lx_{n-1}^{2l-k})=0 \ \text{ iff } \ l=k \text{ or } 0. \quad (3.22)$$

Therefore, when $k\leq 0$, $\mathcal{H}_{\langle k\rangle}$ has only one singular vector x_{n-1}^{-k}; if $k>0$, $\mathcal{H}_{\langle k\rangle}$ has three singular vectors x_{2n}^k, x_n^k and $\eta^kx_{n-1}^k$.

Theorem 3.4 *If $k \leq 0$, then $\mathcal{A}_{\langle k\rangle} = \mathcal{H}_{\langle k\rangle} \bigoplus \eta\mathcal{A}_{\langle k-2\rangle}$ and $\mathcal{H}_{\langle k\rangle}$ is an irreducible highest weight module with the highest weight $-k\lambda_{n-2}+(k-1)\lambda_{n-1}+(k-1)\lambda_n$ and a corresponding weight vector is x_{n-1}^{-k}. Moreover,*

$$\begin{array}{l}\{\sum\limits_{r_1,\cdots,r_{n-1}=0}^{\infty}\frac{\alpha_n!\alpha_{2n}!\prod\limits_{i=1}^{n-1}\begin{pmatrix}\alpha_{n+i}\\ r_i\end{pmatrix}(r_1+\cdots+r_{n-1})!}{(\alpha_n+r_1+\cdots+r_{n-1})!(\alpha_{2n}+r_1+\cdots+r_{n-1})!}x_n^{\alpha_n+r_1+\cdots+r_{n-1}}x_{2n}^{\alpha_{2n}+r_1+\cdots+r_{n-1}}\\ \prod\limits_{i=1}^{n-1}x_i^{\alpha_i+r_i}x_{n+i}^{\alpha_{n+i}-r_i}|\alpha_1,\cdots,\alpha_{2n}\in\mathbb{N},\alpha_n\alpha_{2n}=0,\alpha_n+\sum\limits_{i=1}^{n}\alpha_{n+i}-\sum\limits_{i=1}^{n-1}\alpha_i=k\}\end{array} \tag{3.23}$$

forms a basis of $\mathcal{H}_{\langle k\rangle}$.

Proof. We first show that $\mathcal{A}_{\langle k\rangle} \subset \mathcal{H}_{\langle k\rangle} + \eta\mathcal{A}_{\langle k-2\rangle}$ $(k \leq 0)$. For $\forall x^\alpha \in \mathcal{A}_{\langle k\rangle}$, Theorem 3.3 enables us to write

$$\begin{aligned} x^\alpha &= (\prod_{i=1}^{n-1} x_i^{\alpha_i}x_{n+i}^{\alpha_{n+i}})x_n^{\alpha_n}x_{2n}^{\alpha_{2n}} \\ &= \sum_{k_{2n-1}-k_{n-1}=\sum\limits_{i=1}^{n-1}(\alpha_{n+i}-\alpha_i)} \xi_{k_{n-1},k_{2n-1}}x_{n-1}^{k_{n-1}}x_{2n-1}^{k_{2n-1}}x_n^{\alpha_n}x_{2n}^{\alpha_{2n}}, \end{aligned}$$

where $\xi_{k_{n-1},k_{2n-1}} \in U(\mathcal{G}^1)$. Thus it is sufficient to show that for $\forall k_{2n-1}+k_n+k_{2n}-k_{n-1} = k$, $x_{n-1}^{k_{n-1}}x_{2n-1}^{k_{2n-1}}x_n^{k_n}x_{2n}^{k_{2n}} \in \mathcal{H}_{\langle k\rangle} + \eta\mathcal{A}_{\langle k-2\rangle}$. Set $l = \min\{k_n, k_{2n}\}$. If $k_{n-1} < l$, then

$$x_{n-1}^{k_{n-1}}x_{2n-1}^{k_{2n-1}}x_n^{k_n}x_{2n}^{k_{2n}} = \eta(\sum_{i=1}^{k_{n-1}+1}\tfrac{(-1)^{i-1}k_{n-1}!}{(k_{n-1}-i+1)!}x_{n-1}^{k_{n-1}-i+1}x_{2n-1}^{k_{2n-1}+i-1}x_n^{k_n-i}x_{2n}^{k_{2n}-i}).$$

Suppose $k_{n-1} \geq l$. Observe

$$\Delta(\sum_{t=0}^{l+k_{2n-1}}\frac{(k_n-l)!(k_{2n}-l)!(k_{2n-1}+l)!}{(k_n-l+t)!(k_{2n}-l+t)!(k_{2n-1}+l-t)!}x_{n-1}^{k_{n-1}-l+t}x_{2n-1}^{k_{2n-1}+l-t}x_n^{k_n-l+t}x_{2n}^{k_{2n}-l+t}) = 0,$$

$$\begin{aligned} x_{n-1}^{k_{n-1}}x_{2n-1}^{k_{2n-1}}x_n^{k_n}x_{2n}^{k_{2n}} = {}& \eta(\sum_{i=1}^{l-t}\tfrac{(-1)^{i-1}k_{n-1}!}{(k_{n-1}-i+1)!}x_{n-1}^{k_{n-1}-i+1}x_{2n-1}^{k_{2n-1}+i-1}x_n^{k_n-i}x_{2n}^{k_{2n}-i})+ \\ & (-1)^{l-t}\tfrac{k_{n-1}!}{(k_{n-1}-l+t)!}x_{n-1}^{k_{n-1}-l+t}x_{2n-1}^{k_{2n-1}+l-t}x_n^{k_n-l+t}x_{2n}^{k_{2n}-l+t} \end{aligned}$$

for $t \in \overline{0, l}$, and

$$\begin{aligned} x_{n-1}^{k_{n-1}}x_{2n-1}^{k_{2n-1}}x_n^{k_n}x_{2n}^{k_{2n}} = {}& \eta(\sum_{i=1}^{-l+t}\tfrac{(-1)^{i-1}k_{n-1}!}{(k_{n-1}+i)!}x_{n-1}^{k_{n-1}+i}x_{2n-1}^{k_{2n-1}-i}x_n^{k_n+i-1}x_{2n}^{k_{2n}+i-1})+ \\ & (-1)^{t-l}\tfrac{k_{n-1}!}{(k_{n-1}-l+t)!}x_{n-1}^{k_{n-1}-l+t}x_{2n-1}^{k_{2n-1}+l-t}x_n^{k_n-l+t}x_{2n}^{k_{2n}-l+t} \end{aligned}$$

for $t \in \overline{l+1, l+k_{2n-1}}$. So

$$\begin{aligned} & (\sum_{t=0}^{l+k_{2n-1}}\tfrac{(-1)^{l-t}(k_{n-1}-l+t)!(k_n-l)!(k_{2n}-l)!(k_{2n-1}+l)!}{k_{n-1}!(k_n-l+t)!(k_{2n}-l+t)!(k_{2n-1}+l-t)!})x_{n-1}^{k_{n-1}}x_{2n-1}^{k_{2n-1}}x_n^{k_n}x_{2n}^{k_{2n}} \\ = {}& \sum_{t=0}^{l}\tfrac{(-1)^{l-t}(k_{n-1}-l+t)!(k_n-l)!(k_{2n}-l)!(k_{2n-1}+l)!}{(k_n-l+t)!(k_{2n}-l+t)!(k_{2n-1}+l-t)!}\eta(\sum_{i=1}^{l-t}\tfrac{(-1)^{i-1}k_{n-1}!}{(k_{n-1}-i+1)!}x_{n-1}^{k_{n-1}-i+1}x_{2n-1}^{k_{2n-1}+i-1}x_n^{k_n-i}x_{2n}^{k_{2n}-i}) \\ & +\sum_{t=l+1}^{l+k_{2n-1}}\tfrac{(-1)^{l-t}(k_{n-1}-l+t)!(k_n-l)!(k_{2n}-l)!(k_{2n-1}+l)!}{(k_n-l+t)!(k_{2n}-l+t)!(k_{2n-1}+l-t)!}\eta(\sum_{i=1}^{-l+t}\tfrac{(-1)^{i-1}k_{n-1}!}{(k_{n-1}+i)!}x_{n-1}^{k_{n-1}+i}x_{2n-1}^{k_{2n-1}-i}x_n^{k_n+i-1}x_{2n}^{k_{2n}+i-1}) \\ & +\sum_{t=0}^{l+k_{2n-1}}\tfrac{(k_n-l)!(k_{2n}-l)!(k_{2n-1}+l)!}{(k_n-l+t)!(k_{2n}-l+t)!(k_{2n-1}+l-t)!}x_{n-1}^{k_{n-1}-l+t}x_{2n-1}^{k_{2n-1}+l-t}x_n^{k_n-l+t}x_{2n}^{k_{2n}-l+t}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $x_{n-1}^{k_n-1}x_{2n-1}^{k_{2n-1}}x_n^{k_n}x_{2n}^{k_{2n}} \in \mathcal{H}_{\langle k\rangle} + \eta\mathcal{A}_{\langle k-2\rangle}$.

Note

$$E_{i,j} - E_{n+j,n+i} \mid_{\mathcal{A}} = -x_j\partial_{x_i} - x_{n+j}\partial_{x_{n+i}},\ E_{i,n+j} - E_{j,n+i} \mid_{\mathcal{A}} = \partial_{x_i}\partial_{x_{n+j}} - \partial_{x_j}\partial_{x_{n+i}}$$

if $1 \leq i < j \leq n-1$,

$$E_{p,n} - E_{2n,n+p} \mid_{\mathcal{A}} = \partial_{x_p}\partial_{x_n} - \partial_{x_{2n}}\partial_{x_{n+p}},\ E_{p,2n} - E_{n,n+p} \mid_{\mathcal{A}} = \partial_{x_p}\partial_{x_{2n}} - x_n\partial_{x_{n+p}}$$

if $p \in \overline{1, n-1}$. So $\mathcal{A}$ is also nilpotent with respect to $\mathcal{G}_+$. Therefore, the submodule $\mathcal{H}_{\langle k\rangle}$ is irreducible by lemma 2.3 when $k \leq 0$. Since $x_{n-1}^{-k} \notin \eta\mathcal{A}_{\langle k-2\rangle}$, we get $\mathcal{H}_{\langle k\rangle} \bigcap \eta\mathcal{A}_{\langle k-2\rangle} = 0$.

Using Lemma 3.1 with $\mathcal{T}_1 = \partial_{x_n}\partial_{x_{2n}}$, $\mathcal{T}_2 = -\sum\limits_{i=1}^{n-1} x_i\partial_{x_{n+i}}$ and

$$\mathcal{T}_1^-(x^\alpha) = \frac{x_n x_{2n} x^\alpha}{(\alpha_n+1)(\alpha_{2n}+1)},$$

we obtain that (3.23) is a basis of $\mathcal{H}_{\langle k\rangle}$. □

2) $s < n-1$.

Let

$$u = \sum_{j=s+1}^{n} x_j x_{n+j}, \tag{3.24}$$

we can conclude from the canonical representation and Case 1 that any singular vector in $\mathcal{A}$ should be of the form $f(x_s, x_{n+s}, u, x_{s+1})$. Note

$$(E_{s,j} - E_{n+j,n+s})(f) = x_{n+j}(\partial_{x_s}\partial_u - \partial_{x_{n+s}})(f) = 0, \quad s+1 < j \leq n.$$

Thus

$$(\partial_{x_s}\partial_u - \partial_{x_{n+s}})(f) = 0.$$

Since

$$(E_{s,s+1} - E_{n+s+1,n+s})(f) = \partial_{x_s}\partial_{x_{s+1}}(f) = 0,$$

we have $f = \eta^l x_s^{k_s}$ or $\eta^l x_{s+1}^{k_{s+1}}$. Recall the operator Δ defined in (3.3). First,

$$\Delta(\eta^l x_{s+1}^{k_{s+1}}) = \sum_{j=s+1}^{n} \partial_{x_j}\partial_{x_{n+j}}\big((\sum_{j=s+1}^{n} x_j x_{n+j})^l x_{s+1}^{k_{s+1}}\big) \neq 0 \ \text{ if } \ l > 0.$$

Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta(\eta^l x_s^{k_s}) &= \Delta(\sum_{t=0}^{l} \tfrac{l!k_s!}{t!(l-t)!(k_s-t)!} x_s^{k_s-t} x_{n+s}^t u^{l-t}) \\ &= -\sum_{t=1}^{l} \tfrac{l!k_s!}{(t-1)!(l-t)!(k_s-t)!} x_s^{k_s-t+1} x_{n+s}^{t-1} u^{l-t} + \sum_{t=0}^{l-1} \tfrac{l!k_s!(l-t+n-s-1)}{t!(l-t-1)!(k_s-t)!} x_s^{k_s-t} x_{n+s}^t u^{l-t-1} \\ &= \sum_{t=0}^{l-1} \tfrac{l!k_s!(-k_s+l+n-s-1)}{t!(l-t-1)!(k_s-t)!} x_s^{k_s-t} x_{n+s}^t u^{l-t-1},\end{aligned}$$

$$\Delta(\eta^l x_s^{k_s}) = 0 \Leftrightarrow l = k_s - (n-s-1) \ \text{ or } \ l = 0.$$

Therefore, when $k \leq -(n-s-1)$, $\mathcal{H}_{\langle k\rangle}$ contains only one singular vector x_s^{-k} ; if $k > -(n-s-1)$, $\mathcal{H}_{\langle k\rangle}$ has two singular vectors x_{s+1}^{k}(if $k>0$; x_s^{-k} if $k \leq 0$) and $\eta^{k+(n-s-1)}x_s^{k+2(n-s-1)}$.

Theorem 3.5 *If $k \leq -(n-s-1)$, then $\mathcal{A}_{\langle k\rangle} = \mathcal{H}_{\langle k\rangle} \bigoplus \eta\mathcal{A}_{\langle k-2\rangle}$, $\mathcal{H}_{\langle k\rangle}$ is an irreducible highest weight module with highest weight $-k\lambda_{s-1} + (k-1)\lambda_s$, a corresponding highest weight vector is x_s^{-k}.*

$$\begin{aligned}\{ \sum_{r_1,\cdots,r_{n-1}=0}^{\infty} & \frac{(-1)^{\sum\limits_{j=s+1}^{n} r_j}\alpha_n!\alpha_{2n}!\prod\limits_{j=s+1}^{n-1}\begin{pmatrix}\alpha_j\\ r_j\end{pmatrix}\prod\limits_{i=1}^{n-1}\begin{pmatrix}\alpha_{n+i}\\ r_i\end{pmatrix}(r_1+\cdots+r_{n-1})!\prod\limits_{j=s+1}^{n-1}r_j!}{(\alpha_n+r_1+\cdots+r_{n-1})!(\alpha_{2n}+r_1+\cdots+r_{n-1})!}\\ & \prod_{i=1}^{s} x_i^{\alpha_i+r_i}\prod_{j=s+1}^{n-1}x_j^{\alpha_j-r_j}\prod_{i=1}^{n-1}x_{n+i}^{\alpha_{n+i}-r_i}x_n^{\alpha_n+r_1+\cdots+r_{n-1}}x_{2n}^{\alpha_{2n}+r_1+\cdots+r_{n-1}} | \\ & \alpha_1,\cdots,\alpha_{2n}\in\mathbb{N}, \alpha_n\alpha_{2n}=0, \sum_{j=s+1}^{n}\alpha_j+\sum_{i=1}^{n}\alpha_{n+i}-\sum_{i=1}^{s}\alpha_i = k\}\end{aligned} \tag{3.25}$$

forms a basis of $\mathcal{H}_{\langle k\rangle}$.

Proof. To show $\mathcal{A}_{\langle k\rangle} = \mathcal{H}_{\langle k\rangle} + \eta\mathcal{A}_{\langle k-2\rangle}$, it is sufficient to show that

$$x_s^{k_s}x_{n+s}^{k_{n+s}}x_{s+1}^{k_{s+1}}u^{k_u} \in \mathcal{H}_{\langle k\rangle} + \eta\mathcal{A}_{\langle k-2\rangle} \quad \text{for} \quad k_{s+1}+2k_u+k_{n+s}-k_s = k. \tag{3.26}$$

If $k_s < k_u$, then

$$x_s^{k_s}x_{n+s}^{k_{n+s}}x_{s+1}^{k_{s+1}}u^{k_u} = \eta(\sum_{i=1}^{k_s+1}\frac{(-1)^{i-1}k_s!}{(k_s-i+1)!}x_s^{k_s-i+1}x_{n+s}^{k_{n+s}+i-1}x_{s+1}^{k_{s+1}}u^{k_u-i}).$$

Otherwise, note

$$\Delta(\sum_{t=0}^{k_{n+s}+k_u}\frac{(k_{s+1}+n-s-1)!(k_{n+s}+k_u)!}{t!(k_{s+1}+n-s-1+t)!(k_{n+s}+k_u-t)!}x_s^{k_s-k_u+t}x_{n+s}^{k_{n+s}+k_u-t}x_{s+1}^{k_{s+1}}u^t) = 0, \tag{3.27}$$

$$\begin{aligned} x_s^{k_s}x_{n+s}^{k_{n+s}}x_{s+1}^{k_{s+1}}u^{k_u} = & \eta(\sum_{i=1}^{t-k_u}\tfrac{(-1)^{i-1}k_s!}{(k_s+i)!}x_s^{k_s+i}x_{n+s}^{k_{n+s}-i}x_{s+1}^{k_{s+1}}u^{k_u+i-1}) \\ & +\tfrac{(-1)^{t-k_u}k_s!}{(k_s-k_u+t)!}x_s^{k_s-k_u+t}x_{n+s}^{k_{n+s}+k_u-t}x_{s+1}^{k_{s+1}}u^t \end{aligned} \tag{3.28}$$

for $k_u \leq t \leq k_u + k_{n+s}$,

$$\begin{aligned} x_s^{k_s}x_{n+s}^{k_{n+s}}x_{s+1}^{k_{s+1}}u^{k_u} = & \eta(\sum_{i=1}^{k_u-t}\tfrac{(-1)^{i-1}k_s!}{(k_s-i+1)!}x_s^{k_s-i+1}x_{n+s}^{k_{n+s}+i-1}x_{s+1}^{k_{s+1}}u^{k_u-i}) \\ & +\tfrac{(-1)^{t-k_u}k_s!}{(k_s-k_u+t)!}x_s^{k_s-k_u+t}x_{n+s}^{k_{n+s}+k_u-t}x_{s+1}^{k_{s+1}}u^t \end{aligned} \tag{3.29}$$

for $1 \leq t < k_u$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} & (\sum_{t=0}^{k_{n+s}+k_u}\tfrac{(-1)^{k_u-t}(k_s-k_u+t)!(k_{s+1}+n-s-1)!(k_{n+s}+k_u)!}{t!k_s!(k_{s+1}+n-s-1+t)!(k_{n+s}+k_u-t)!})x_s^{k_s}x_{n+s}^{k_{n+s}}x_{s+1}^{k_{s+1}}u^{k_u} \\ = & \sum_{t=0}^{k_u-1}\tfrac{(-1)^{k_u-t}(k_s-k_u+t)!(k_{s+1}+n-s-1)!(k_{n+s}+k_u)!}{t!(k_{s+1}+n-s-1+t)!(k_{n+s}+k_u-t)!}\eta(\sum_{i=1}^{k_u-t}\tfrac{(-1)^{i-1}}{(k_s-i+1)!}x_s^{k_s-i+1}x_{n+s}^{k_{n+s}+i-1}x_{s+1}^{k_{s+1}}u^{k_u-i}) \\ & +\sum_{t=k_u}^{k_{n+s}+k_u}\tfrac{(-1)^{k_u-t}(k_s-k_u+t)!(k_{s+1}+n-s-1)!(k_{n+s}+k_u)!}{t!(k_{s+1}+n-s-1+t)!(k_{n+s}+k_u-t)!}\eta(\sum_{i=1}^{t-k_u}\tfrac{(-1)^{i-1}}{(k_s+i)!}x_s^{k_s+i}x_{n+s}^{k_{n+s}-i}x_{s+1}^{k_{s+1}}u^{k_u+i-1}) \\ & +\sum_{t=0}^{k_{n+s}+k_u}\tfrac{(k_{s+1}+n-s-1)!(k_{n+s}+k_u)!}{t!(k_{s+1}+n-s-1+t)!(k_{n+s}+k_u-t)!}x_s^{k_s-k_u+t}x_{n+s}^{k_{n+s}+k_u-t}x_{s+1}^{k_{s+1}}u^t. \end{aligned} \tag{3.30}$$

Hence (3.26) holds.

Note

$$E_{i,j}-E_{n+j,n+i}\,|_{\mathcal{A}}=\begin{cases}-x_j\partial_{x_i}-x_{n+j}\partial_{x_{n+i}} & \text{if } \ 1\leq i<j\leq s,\\ \partial_{x_i}\partial_{x_j}-x_{n+j}\partial_{x_{n+i}} & \text{if } \ i\leq s<j\leq n,\\ x_i\partial_{x_j}-x_{n+j}\partial_{x_{n+i}} & \text{if } \ s<i<j\leq n,\end{cases} \tag{3.31}$$

and

$$E_{i,n+j}-E_{j,n+i}\,|_{\mathcal{A}}=\begin{cases}\partial_{x_i}\partial_{x_{n+j}}-\partial_{x_j}\partial_{x_{n+i}} & \text{if } \ 1\leq i,j\leq s,\\ \partial_{x_i}\partial_{x_{n+j}}-x_j\partial_{x_{n+i}} & \text{if } \ 1\leq i\leq s<j\leq n,\\ x_i\partial_{x_{n+j}}-x_j\partial_{x_{n+i}} & \text{if } \ s<i,j\leq n.\end{cases} \tag{3.32}$$

So $\mathcal{A}$ is again nilpotent with respect to $\mathcal{G}_+$. If $k\leq -(n-s-1)$, $\mathcal{H}_{\langle k\rangle}$ is irreducible by lemma 2.3. Since $x_s^{-k}\notin \eta\mathcal{A}_{\langle k-2\rangle}$, we get $\mathcal{H}_{\langle k\rangle}\bigcap \eta\mathcal{A}_{\langle k-2\rangle}=0$.

By Lemma 3.1 with $\mathcal{T}_1=\partial_{x_n}\partial_{x_{2n}}$, $\mathcal{T}_2=\Delta-\mathcal{T}_1$ and $\mathcal{T}_1^-$ in the above of Theorem 3.4, we get (3.25) is a basis of $\mathcal{H}_{\langle k\rangle}$. $\square$

4 Noncanonical Representation of $so(2n+1,\mathbb{C})$

This section is devoted to the noncanonical polynomial representation of the Lie algebra

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{G}=so(2n+1,\mathbb{C})=\sum_{i,j=1}^{n}\mathbb{C}(E_{i+1,j+1}-E_{n+j+1,n+i+1})+\\ \sum_{1\leq i<j\leq n}[\mathbb{C}(E_{i+1,n+j+1}-E_{j+1,n+i+1})+\mathbb{C}(E_{n+j+1,i+1}-E_{n+i+1,j+1})]\\ +\sum_{i=1}^{n}[\mathbb{C}(E_{i+1,1}-E_{1,n+i+1})+\mathbb{C}(E_{1,i+1}-E_{n+i+1,1})].\end{aligned} \tag{4.1}$$

Pick a Cartan subalgebra

$$H=\sum_{i=1}^{n}\mathbb{C}(E_{i+1,i+1}-E_{n+i+1,n+i+1}).$$

Take $\{E_{i+1,j+1}-E_{n+j+1,n+i+1},E_{i+1,n+j+1}-E_{j+1,n+i+1}\mid 1\leq i<j\leq n\}$ and $\{E_{i+1,1}-E_{1,n+i+1}\mid i\in\overline{1,n}\}$ as positive root vectors, which span a Lie subalgebra $\mathcal{G}_+$. Set $h_i=E_{i+1,i+1}-E_{n+i+1,n+i+1}-E_{i+2,i+2}-E_{n+i+2,n+i+2}$ for $i\in\overline{1,n-1}$ and $h_n=2(E_{n+1,n+1}-E_{2n+1,2n+1})$. The fundamental weights $\lambda_i, i\in\overline{1,n}$ are linear functions on H such that $\lambda_i(h_j)=\delta_{i,j}$. Let S,T be a partition of $\overline{1,2n+1}$, we can get a representation of $so(2n+1,\mathbb{C})$ on $\mathcal{A}=\mathbb{C}[x_1\cdots,x_{2n+1}]$ via (2.1). We can always assume $1\in S$ by symmetry. Set

$$\begin{aligned}S_1&=\{i\in\overline{2,n+1}|\ \ i\in S,\ \ n+i\in S\},\\ S_2&=\{i\in\overline{2,n+1}|\ \ i\in S,\ \ n+i\in T\},\\ T_1&=\{i\in\overline{2,n+1}|\ \ i\in T,\ \ n+i\in T\},\\ T_2&=\{i\in\overline{2,n+1}|\ \ i\in T,\ \ n+i\in S\},\end{aligned} \tag{4.2}$$

and

$$\Delta=\partial_{x_1}^2+2(\sum_{i\in S_1}\partial_{x_i}\partial_{x_{n+i}}+\sum_{i\in T_1}x_ix_{n+i}-\sum_{i\in S_2}x_{n+i}\partial_{x_i}-\sum_{i\in T_2}x_i\partial_{x_{n+i}}), \tag{4.3}$$

$$\eta=x_1^2+2(\sum_{i\in S_1}x_ix_{n+i}+\sum_{i\in T_1}\partial_{x_i}\partial_{x_{n+i}}+\sum_{i\in S_2}x_i\partial_{x_{n+i}}+\sum_{i\in T_2}x_{n+i}\partial_{x_i}). \tag{4.4}$$

It is easy to see

$$\Delta g = g\Delta, \quad \eta g = g\eta \quad \text{for} \quad g \in so(2n+1,\mathbb{C}), \tag{4.5}$$

as operators on $\mathcal{A}$. We still set

$$\mathcal{A}_{\langle k\rangle} = \text{Span}\,\{x^\alpha \in \mathcal{A} | \sum_{i\in S}\alpha_i - \sum_{i\in T}\alpha_i = k\},$$

$$\mathcal{H}_{\langle k\rangle} = \{f \in \mathcal{A}_{\langle k\rangle} | \Delta(f) = 0\}.$$

Case 1. $T = \overline{2, n+1}$

In this case, we have

$$\Delta = \partial_{x_1}^2 - 2\sum_{i=1}^n x_{i+1}\partial_{x_{n+i+1}},$$

$$\eta = x_1^2 + 2\sum_{i=1}^n x_{n+i+1}\partial_{x_{i+1}}.$$

Since $so(2n+1,\mathbb{C}))$ contains $so(2n,\mathbb{C})$ as a subalgebra, any singular vector is of the form $f(x_1, x_{n+1}, x_{2n+1})$ by Lemma 3.2. Let $f(x_1, x_{n+1}, x_{2n+1}) \in \mathcal{A}$ be a singular vector. Observe

$$(E_{n+1,1} - E_{1,2n+1})(f) = (\partial_{x_{n+1}}\partial_{x_1} - x_1\partial_{x_{2n+1}})(f) = 0, \tag{4.6}$$

Using lemma 3.1 with $B = \mathbb{C}[x_1, x_{n+1}]$, $V_r = \text{Span}\{x_{2n+1}^{\alpha_{2n+1}} \mid \alpha_{2n+1} \le r\}$, $\mathcal{T}_1 = \partial_{x_{n+1}}\partial_{x_1}$, $\mathcal{T}_2 = -x_1\partial_{x_{2n+1}}$ and

$$\mathcal{T}_1^-(x^\alpha) = \frac{x_1 x_{n+1} x^\alpha}{(\alpha_1+1)(\alpha_{n+1}+1)},$$

we obtain

Lemma 4.1 *All singular vectors in* $\mathcal{A}$ *are* $f_{p,q} = \sum_{i=0}^q \frac{q!p!!}{i!(q-i)!(p+2q-2i)!!} x_1^{p+2q-2i} x_{n+1}^{q-i} x_{2n+1}^i$ *(*p *is odd,* $p, q \in \mathbb{N}$*) and* $\eta^l x_{n+1}^{k_{n+1}}$ $(l \ge 0, k_{n+1} \ge 0)$. □

Note

$$\Delta(\eta^l x_{n+1}^{k_{n+1}}) = 0 \Leftrightarrow l = 0.$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta(f_{p,q}) &= \sum_{i=0}^q \frac{q!p!!(p+2q-2i-1)}{i!(q-i)!(p+2q-2i-2)!!} x_1^{p+2q-2i-2} x_{n+1}^{q-i} x_{2n+1}^i \\ &\quad -2\sum_{i=1}^q \frac{q!p!!}{(i-1)!(q-i)!(p+2q-2i)!!} x_1^{p+2q-2i} x_{n+1}^{q-i+1} x_{2n+1}^{i-1} \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^q \frac{q!p!!(p-1)}{i!(q-i)!(p+2q-2i-2)!!} x_1^{p+2q-2i-2} x_{n+1}^{q-i} x_{2n+1}^i,\end{aligned}$$

we have

$$\Delta(f_{p,q}) = 0 \Leftrightarrow p = 1.$$

Therefore, $\mathcal{H}_{\langle k\rangle}$ has only one singular vector ($f_{1,k-1}$ if $k > 0$ and x_{n+1}^{-k} if $k \le 0$). Observe

$$E_{i+1,j+1} - E_{n+j+1,n+i+1}\,|_{\mathcal{A}} = -x_{j+1}\partial_{x_{i+1}} - x_{n+j+1}\partial_{x_{n+i+1}}, \tag{4.7}$$

$$E_{i+1,n+j+1} - E_{j+1,n+i+1} \mid_{\mathcal{A}} = \partial_{x_{i+1}}\partial_{x_{n+j+1}} - \partial_{x_{j+1}}\partial_{x_{n+i+1}}, \tag{4.8}$$

for $1 \leq i < j \leq n$, and

$$E_{i+1,1} - E_{1,n+i+1} \mid_{\mathcal{A}} = \partial_{x_{i+1}}\partial_{x_1} - x_1\partial_{x_{n+i+1}} \tag{4.9}$$

if $1 \leq i \leq n$. So $\mathcal{A}$ is nilpotent with respect to $\mathcal{G}_+$. Hence the subspace $\mathcal{H}_{\langle k\rangle}$ is irreducible by the analogue of Lemma 2.3 for $so(2n+1,\mathbb{C})$.

By the similar argument as in theorem 3.4 and 3.5, we can get $\mathcal{A}_{\langle k\rangle} = \mathcal{H}_{\langle k\rangle} + \eta\mathcal{A}_{\langle k-2\rangle}$ $(k \in \mathbb{Z})$.

Since $x_{n+1}^{-k} \notin \eta\mathcal{A}_{\langle k-2\rangle}$ when $k \leq 0$ and $x_1 \notin \eta\mathcal{A}_{-1}$, we have $\mathcal{H}_{\langle k\rangle} \bigcap \eta\mathcal{A}_{\langle k-2\rangle} = 0$ for $k \leq 1$. Now assume $\mathcal{H}_{\langle k\rangle} \bigcap \eta\mathcal{A}_{\langle k-2\rangle} = 0$ when $k \leq k_0$ for some $k_0 \geq 1$. Note

$$\Delta\eta = \eta\Delta + 4x_1\partial_{x_1} + 4\sum_{i=1}^{n}(x_{n+i+1}\partial_{x_{n+i+1}} - x_{i+1}\partial_{x_{i+1}}) + 2. \tag{4.10}$$

So

$$\Delta(\eta^i g) = 2i(2k_0 - 2i + 1)\eta^{i-1}g \neq 0 \tag{4.11}$$

for $i \geq 1$ and $0 \neq g \in \mathcal{H}_{\langle k_0-2i+1\rangle}$. Hence $\mathcal{H}_{\langle k_0+1\rangle} \bigcap \eta\mathcal{A}_{\langle k_0-1\rangle} = 0$. Therefore, $\mathcal{H}_{\langle k\rangle} \bigcap \eta\mathcal{A}_{\langle k-2\rangle} = 0$ holds for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Using Lemma 3.1 with $\mathcal{T}_1 = \partial_{x_1}^2, \mathcal{T}_2 = \Delta - \mathcal{T}_1$ and

$$\mathcal{T}_1^-(x^\alpha) = \frac{x_1^2 x^\alpha}{(\alpha_1+1)(\alpha_1+2)},$$

we obtain

Theorem 4.2 *The space $\mathcal{A}_{\langle k\rangle} = \mathcal{H}_{\langle k\rangle} \bigoplus \eta\mathcal{A}_{\langle k-2\rangle}$. Moreover, $\mathcal{H}_{\langle k\rangle}$ is an irreducible highest weight module with highest weight $(k-1)\lambda_{n-1} - 2k\lambda_n$ (resp. $-k\lambda_{n-1} + 2(k-1)\lambda_n$), a corresponding highest weight vector is $f_{1,k-1}$ (resp. x_{n+1}^{-k}) when $k > 0$ (resp. $k \leq 0$) and*

$$\{\sum_{r_2,\cdots,r_{n+1}=0}^{\infty} \frac{2^{r_2+\cdots+r_{n+1}}\epsilon!(r_2+\cdots+r_{n+1})!\prod_{i=1}^{n}\begin{pmatrix}\alpha_{n+i+1}\\ r_{i+1}\end{pmatrix}}{(\epsilon+2\sum_{i=1}^{n} r_{i+1})!} x_1^{\epsilon+2\sum_{i=1}^{n} r_{i+1}} \prod_{i=1}^{n} x_{i+1}^{\alpha_{i+1}+r_{i+1}} x_{n+i+1}^{\alpha_{n+i+1}-r_{i+1}}$$
$$|\epsilon \in \{0,1\}; \alpha_2\cdots,\alpha_{2n+1} \in \mathbb{N}, \epsilon + \sum_{i=1}^{n}\alpha_{n+i+1} - \alpha_{i+1} = k\}$$

forms a basis of $\mathcal{H}_{\langle k\rangle}$ $(k \in \mathbb{Z})$.

Case 2. $1 \in S$, $\overline{2, n+1} = T_2 \bigcup S_1$

We can assume $T_2 = \overline{2, s+1}(1 \leq s < n)$ by symmetry. Note

$$\Delta = \partial_{x_1}^2 - 2\sum_{i=1}^{s} x_{i+1}\partial_{x_{n+i+1}} + 2\sum_{j=s+1}^{n}\partial_{x_{j+1}}\partial_{x_{n+j+1}},$$

$$\eta = x_1^2 + 2\sum_{i=1}^{s} x_{n+i+1}\partial_{x_{i+1}} + 2\sum_{j=s+1}^{n} x_{j+1}x_{n+j+1}.$$

Denote

$$v = x_1^2 + 2\sum_{j=s+1}^{n} x_{j+1}x_{n+j+1}.$$

Let $f \in \mathcal{A}$ be a singular vector. Set

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathcal{G}_1 = \sum_{i,j=1}^{s} \mathbb{C}(E_{i+1,j+1} - E_{n+j+1,n+i+1}) + \sum_{1\le i<j\le s}[\mathbb{C}(E_{i+1,n+j+1} - E_{j+1,n+i+1})\\
&+\mathbb{C}(E_{n+j+1,i+1} - E_{n+i+1,j+1})],\\
&\mathcal{G}_2 = \sum_{i,j=s+1}^{n} \mathbb{C}(E_{i+1,j+1} - E_{n+j+1,n+i+1}) + \sum_{s+1\le i<j\le n}[\mathbb{C}(E_{i+1,n+j+1} - E_{j+1,n+i+1})\\
&+\mathbb{C}(E_{n+j+1,i+1} - E_{n+i+1,j+1})].
\end{aligned}$$

Denote $\mathcal{A}_1 = \mathbb{C}[x_2, ..., x_{s+1}, x_{n+2}, ..., x_{n+s+1}]$ and $\mathcal{A}_2 = \mathbb{C}[x_{s+2}, ..., x_{n+1}, x_{n+s+2}, ..., x_{2n+1}]$. Then $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}_1\mathcal{A}_2[x_1]$. Note that any singular vector of $\mathcal{G}_1|_{\mathcal{A}_1}$ is of the form $g_1(x_{s+1}, x_{n+s+1})$ by Lemma 3.2. Moreover, $\mathcal{G}_2|_{\mathcal{A}_2}$ is the canonical polynomial representation of $so(2(n-s),\mathbb{C})$, whose singular vectors are known to be of the form $g_2(x_{s+2}, v)$. Observe that f can be viewed as a singular vector of $\mathcal{G}_1|_{\mathcal{A}}$ and a singular vector of $\mathcal{G}_2|_{\mathcal{A}}$. Thus we can write $f = f(x_1, x_{s+1}, x_{s+2}, x_{n+s+1}, v)$ by the above facts. Observe

$$(E_{s+2,1} - E_{1,n+s+2})(f) = x_{s+2}\partial_{x_1}(f) = 0,$$

that is

$$\partial_{x_1}(f) = 0.$$

Moreover,

$$(E_{s+1,1} - E_{1,n+s+1})(f) = 2x_1\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial v\partial x_{s+1}} - x_1\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{n+s+1}} = 0,$$

equivalently,

$$(2\partial_v\partial_{x_{s+1}} - \partial_{x_{n+s+1}})(f) = 0.$$

Furthermore,

$$(E_{s+1,s+2} - E_{n+s+2,n+s+1})(f) = \partial_{x_{s+1}}\partial_{x_{s+2}}(f) = 0,$$

that is, $\partial_{x_{s+2}}(f) = 0$ or $\partial_{x_{s+1}}(f) = 0$.

Defining

$$\int_{(z)} z^t = \frac{z^{t+1}}{t+1},$$

we get,

$$\begin{aligned}
f &= \sum_{t=0}^{\infty}(\tfrac{1}{2}\textstyle\int_{(x_{s+1})}\int_{(v)})^t x_{s+1}^p\partial_{x_{n+s+1}}^t x_{n+s+1}^m\\
&= \sum_{t=0}^{m}\tfrac{p!m!}{2^t(p+t)!t!(m-t)!}x_{s+1}^{p+t}v^t x_{n+s+1}^{m-t}\\
&= \tfrac{p!}{2^m(p+m)!}(v + 2x_{n+s+1}\partial_{x_{s+1}})^m x_{s+1}^{p+m},\\
&= \tfrac{p!}{2^m(p+m)!}\eta^m x_{s+1}^{p+m}, (m > 0),
\end{aligned}$$

or

$$\begin{array}{rcl} f & = & \sum\limits_{t=0}^{\infty}(\frac{1}{2}\int_{(x_{s+1})}\int_{(v)})^t v^p \partial^t_{x_{n+s+1}} x^m_{n+s+1} \\ & = & \sum\limits_{t=0}^{m} \frac{p!m!}{2^t(p+t)!t!(m-t)!} x^t_{s+1} v^{p+t} x^{m-t}_{n+s+1} \\ & = & \frac{p!}{2^m(p+m)!}(v+2x_{n+s+1}\partial_{x_{s+1}})^{p+m} x^m_{s+1}, \\ & = & \frac{p!}{2^m(p+m)!}\eta^{p+m} x^m_{s+1}, (m>0), \end{array}$$

or

$$f = v^l x^{k_{s+2}}_{s+2} = \eta^l x^{k_{s+2}}_{s+2} (l \geq 0, k_{s+2} \geq 0).$$

Hence all the singular vectors in $\mathcal{A}_{\langle k\rangle}$ are of the form $\eta^l x^{k-2l}_{s+1}$ or $\eta^l x^{2l-k}_{s+2}$. Note

$$\Delta(\eta^l x^{k_{s+1}}_{s+1}) \neq 0, \quad \Delta(\eta^l x^{k_{s+2}}_{s+2}) \neq 0, \quad \text{if} \quad l > 0.$$

Therefore, $\mathcal{H}_{\langle k\rangle}$ contains only one singular vector (x^k_{s+2} if $k \geq 0$; x^{-k}_{s+1} if $k < 0$). Similarly, by Lemma 3.1, we get

Theorem 4.3 *The space $\mathcal{A}_{\langle k\rangle} = \mathcal{H}_{\langle k\rangle} \bigoplus \eta\mathcal{A}_{\langle k-2\rangle}$, and $\mathcal{H}_{\langle k\rangle}$ is irreducible with basis*

$$\begin{array}{l} \{ \sum\limits_{r_2,\cdots,r_{n+1}=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{r_{s+2}+\cdots+r_{n+1}} 2^{r_2+\cdots+r_{n+1}} \epsilon!(r_2+\cdots+r_{n+1})! \prod\limits_{j=s+1}^{n} \left(\begin{array}{c} \alpha_{j+1} \\ r_{j+1} \end{array}\right) r_{j+1}! \prod\limits_{i=1}^{n} \left(\begin{array}{c} \alpha_{n+i+1} \\ r_{i+1} \end{array}\right)}{(\epsilon+2\sum\limits_{i=1}^{n} r_{i+1})!} \\ \times x_1^{\epsilon+2\sum\limits_{i=1}^{n} r_{i+1}} \prod\limits_{i=1}^{s} x^{\alpha_{i+1}+r_{i+1}}_{i+1} x^{\alpha_{n+i+1}-r_{i+1}}_{n+i+1} \prod\limits_{j=s+1}^{n} x^{\alpha_{j+1}-r_{j+1}}_{j+1} x^{\alpha_{n+j+1}-r_{j+1}}_{n+j+1} | \epsilon \in \{0,1\}; \\ \alpha_2 \cdots, \alpha_{2n+1} \in \mathbb{N}, \epsilon + \sum\limits_{i=s+2}^{2n+1} \alpha_i - \sum\limits_{i=2}^{s+1} \alpha_i = k \} \end{array}$$

If $k \geq 0$, the highest weight of $\mathcal{H}_{\langle k\rangle}$ is $-(k+1)\lambda_s + k\lambda_{s+1}$ when $s < n-1$, and $-(k+1)\lambda_s + 2k\lambda_{s+1}$ if $s = n-1$. When $k < 0$, the highest weight of $\mathcal{H}_{\langle k\rangle}$ is $-k\lambda_{s-1} + (k-1)\lambda_s$. Moreover, x^k_{s+2} is a singular vector of $\mathcal{H}_{\langle k\rangle}$ if $k \geq 0$, and x^{-k}_{s+1} is a singular vector of $\mathcal{H}_{\langle k\rangle}$ when $k < 0$.

5 Noncanonical Representation of $sl(n, \mathbb{C})$

In this section, we study the noncanonical representation $sl(n, \mathbb{C})$ obtained from (1.9) by swapping some $-x_r, -y_s$ and $\partial_{x_r}, \partial_{y_s}$.

Recall

$$sl(n, \mathbb{C}) = \sum_{i,j=1, i\neq j}^{n} \mathbb{C}E_{i,j} + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \mathbb{C}(E_{i,i} - E_{i+1,i+1}),$$

and

$$H = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \mathbb{C}(E_{i,i} - E_{i+1,i+1})$$

is a Cartan subalgebra of $sl(n, \mathbb{C})$. Recall the fundamental weights $\lambda_1, \cdots, \lambda_{n-1}$ are linear functions on H such that $\lambda_i(E_{j,j} - E_{j+1,j+1}) = \delta_{i,j}$. Take $\{E_{ij} | 1 \leq i < j \leq n\}$ as positive root vectors, which span a Lie subalgebra $\mathcal{G}_+$. Recall $\mathcal{B} = \mathbb{C}[x_1, \cdots, x_n, y_1, \cdots, y_n]$. Let S, T be a partition of $\overline{1, n}$. Define a representation of $sl(n, \mathbb{C})$ on $\mathcal{B}$ as follows:

$$E_{i,j}|_{\mathcal{B}} = \begin{cases} x_i\partial_{x_j} - y_j\partial_{y_i}, & \text{if } \ i, j \in S, \\ -x_ix_j - y_j\partial_{y_i}, & \text{if } \ i \in S, \quad j \in T, \\ \partial_{x_i}\partial_{x_j} - y_j\partial_{y_i}, & \text{if } \ i \in T, \quad j \in S, \\ -x_j\partial_{x_i} - \delta_{i,j} - y_j\partial_{y_i}, & \text{if } \ i, j \in T. \end{cases}$$

Set

$$\Delta = \sum_{i \in S} \partial_{x_i}\partial_{y_i} - \sum_{i \in T} x_i\partial_{y_i}$$

and

$$\eta = \sum_{i \in s} x_iy_i + \sum_{i \in T} y_i\partial_{x_i}.$$

Then

$$\Delta\xi = \xi\Delta, \quad \eta\xi = \xi\eta \ \text{ for } \ \xi \in sl(n, \mathbb{C})$$

as operators on $\mathcal{B}$. Denote

$$\mathcal{B}_{l_1,l_2} = \text{Span}\,\{x^\alpha y^\beta \in \mathcal{B} \mid \sum_{i \in S} \alpha_i - \sum_{i \in T} \alpha_i = l_1, |\beta| = l_2\},$$

for $l_1 \in \mathbb{Z}, l_2 \in \mathbb{N}$, and

$$\mathcal{H}_{l_1,l_2} = \{f \in \mathcal{B}_{l_1,l_2} \mid \Delta(f) = 0\}.$$

Then $\mathcal{B}_{l_1,l_2}$ and $\mathcal{H}_{l_1,l_2}$ are $sl(n, \mathbb{C})$-submodules.

We can always assume $T = \overline{1, s}$ for some $s \in \overline{1, n}$ by symmetry.

Case 1. $s = n$.

In this case $\Delta = -\sum\limits_{i=1}^{n} x_i\partial_{y_i}$ and $\eta = \sum\limits_{i=1}^{n} y_i\partial_{x_i}$.

$$\mathcal{B}_{l_1,l_2} = Span\{x^\alpha y^\beta \in \mathcal{B} \mid |\alpha| = -l_1, |\beta| = l_2\}$$

is finite dimensional. The subspace $\mathcal{B}_{l_1,l_2} = 0$ if $l_1 > 0$.

Lemma 5.1 *All singular vectors in $\mathcal{B}_{l_1,l_2}$ are of the form $x_n^{-l_1-t}y_n^{l_2-t}(x_{n-1}y_n - x_ny_{n-1})^t$ $(t \geq 0)$.*

Proof. Let $f \in \mathcal{B}_{l_1,l_2}$ be a singular vector. Denote $u_i = x_iy_n - x_ny_i$ for $i \in \overline{1, n-1}$. We can rewrite

$$f = g(x_1, \cdots, x_n, u_1, \cdots, u_{n-1}, y_n)$$

as a rational function in $x_1, \cdots, x_n, u_1, \cdots, u_{n-1}, y_n$.

$$E_{i,n}(g) = -x_n\partial_{x_i}(g) = 0 \ \text{ for } \ i \in \overline{1, n-1},$$

equivalently,

$$\partial_{x_i}(g) = 0 \ \text{ for } \ i \in \overline{1, n-1}.$$

$$E_{i,j}(g) = -(x_j y_n - y_j x_n)\partial_{u_i}(g) = 0, \quad \text{for } \ 1 \leq i < j < n,$$

which implies

$$\partial_{u_i}(g) = 0, \quad \text{for } \ 1 \leq i \leq n-2.$$

Therefore, g is independent of $x_1, \cdots, x_{n-1}, u_1, \cdots, u_{n-2}$. $\square$

Set

$$\mathcal{H}'_{l_1,l_2} = \{f \in \mathcal{B}_{l_1,l_2} | \eta(f) = 0\}.$$

By the proof of Theorem 3.3, we get:

Theorem 5.2 *If $l_1 + l_2 \leq 0$,*

$$\mathcal{B}_{l_1,l_2} = \mathcal{H}_{l_1,l_2} \bigoplus \eta\mathcal{B}_{l_1-1,l_2-1}$$

(see the equation above Case 1), and $\mathcal{H}_{l_1,l_2}$ is an irreducible highest weight module with the highest weight $l_2\lambda_{n-2} - (l_1+l_2)\lambda_{n-1}$, a corresponding highest weight vector $x_n^{-l_1-l_2}u_{n-1}^{l_2}$ and a basis

$$\begin{aligned}&\{\prod_{t=1}^{n} x_t^{k_t} \prod_{1\leq i<j\leq n}(x_i y_j - x_j y_i)^{k_{i,j}} | k_t, k_{i,j} \in \mathbb{N};\ \sum k_{i,j} = l_2,\ \sum k_t = -l_1 - l_2;\\ &k_{i,j}k_t = 0 \textit{ for } i<j<t;\ k_{i,j}k_{i_1,j_1} = 0 \textit{ for } i < i_1 \textit{ and } j > j_1\}.\end{aligned} \tag{5.1}$$

When $l_1 + l_2 > 0$,

$$\mathcal{B}_{l_1,l_2} = \mathcal{H}'_{l_1,l_2} \bigoplus \Delta\mathcal{B}_{l_1+1,l_2+1},$$

and $\mathcal{H}'_{l_1,l_2}$ is an irreducible highest weight module with the highest weight $-l_1\lambda_{n-2} + (l_1 + l_2)\lambda_{n-1}$ and a corresponding highest weight vector $y_n^{l_1+l_2}u_{n-1}^{-l_1}$. The set

$$\begin{aligned}&\{\prod_{t=1}^{n} y_t^{k_t} \prod_{1\leq i<j\leq n}(x_i y_j - x_j y_i)^{k_{i,j}} | k_t, k_{i,j} \in \mathbb{N};\ \ \sum k_{i,j} = -l_1,\ \sum_{t=1}^{n} k_t = l_1 + l_2;\\ &k_{i,j}k_t = 0 \textit{ for } i<j<t;\ k_{i,j}k_{i_1,j_1} = 0 \textit{ for } i < i_1 \textit{ and } j > j_1\}\end{aligned} \tag{5.2}$$

forms a basis of $\mathcal{H}'_{l_1,l_2}$.

Proof of Theorem 2.10 b)

Identify y_i with x_{n+i} for $i \in \overline{1,n}$ and $E_{i,j}$ with $E_{i,j} - E_{n+j,n+i}$. We can view $sl(n,\mathbb{C})$ as a subalgebra of $sp(2n,\mathbb{C})$. Set

$$\mathcal{A}_{\langle 0\rangle} = \bigoplus_{m\in\mathbb{N}} \mathcal{B}_{-m,m} = \bigoplus_{m\in\mathbb{N}}\bigoplus_{l=0}^{m} \eta^l\mathcal{H}_{-m-l,m-l} = \bigoplus_{l,m\in\mathbb{N}} \eta^l\mathcal{H}_{-m-2l,m}. \tag{5.3}$$

We want to show that

$$\eta^l\mathcal{H}_{-m-2l,m} \subset U(sp(2n,\mathbb{C})).1$$

if m is even while

$$\eta^l\mathcal{H}_{-m-2l,m}\subset U(sp(2n,\mathbb{C})).(x_{n-1}x_{2n}-x_nx_{2n-1})$$

if m is odd. Hence $\mathcal{A}_{\langle 0\rangle}=U(sp(2n,\mathbb{C})).1\bigoplus U(sp(2n,\mathbb{C})).(x_{n-1}x_{2n}-x_nx_{2n-1})$. Moreover, (2.17) forms a basis of $U(sp(2n,\mathbb{C})).1$ and (2.18) forms $U(sp(2n,\mathbb{C})).(x_{n-1}x_{2n}-x_nx_{2n-1})$.

We claim that if there exists a nonzero element $f\in\eta^lH_{-m-2l,m}$ such that $f\in U(sp(2n,\mathbb{C})).1$ or $U(sp(2n)).(x_{n-1}x_{2n}-x_nx_{2n-1})$, then

$$\eta^l\mathcal{H}_{-m-2l,m}\subset U(sp(2n,\mathbb{C})).1\ \text{ or }\ U(sp(2n,\mathbb{C})).(x_{n-1}x_{2n}-x_nx_{2n-1})$$

because $\eta^l\mathcal{H}_{-m-2l,m}$ is an irreducible $sl(n,\mathbb{C})$-submodule. Since

$$\eta^lx_i^{2l}=\frac{(2l)!}{l!}x_i^lx_{n+i}^l\in U(sp(2n,\mathbb{C})).1,$$

we have $\eta^l\mathcal{H}_{-2l,0}\subset U(sp(2n,\mathbb{C})).1$.

Suppose $\eta^l\mathcal{H}_{-m-2l,m}\subset U(sp(2n,\mathbb{C})).1$ for some even integer $m\geq 0$. Taking $0\neq f\in\eta^l\mathcal{H}_{-m-2l,m}$, we get

$$0\neq(x_{n-1}x_{2n}-x_nx_{2n-1})^2f\in\eta^l\mathcal{H}_{-m-2-2l,m+2},$$

$$\begin{array}{rl} & (x_{n-1}x_{2n}-x_nx_{2n-1})^2f\\ = & (x_{n-1}x_{2n}+x_nx_{2n-1})^2f-4x_{n-1}x_nx_{2n-1}x_{2n}f\\ = & -(E_{2n-1,n}+E_{2n,n-1})^2.f-4E_{2n-1,n-1}E_{2n,n}.f\in U(sp(2n)).1.\end{array}$$

So $\eta^l\mathcal{H}_{-m-2-2l,m+2}\subset U(sp(2n,\mathbb{C})).1$. Therefore, $\eta^l\mathcal{H}_{-m-2l,m}\subset U(sp(2n,\mathbb{C})).1$ if m is even, and $\eta^l\mathcal{H}_{-m-2l,m}\subset U(sp(2n,\mathbb{C})).(x_{n-1}x_{2n}-x_nx_{2n-1})$ if m is odd by the same arguments. This completes the proof of Theorem 2.10 b)

Case 2. $s=n-1$.

Suppose $f\in\mathcal{B}$ is a singular vector. We rewrite

$$f=g(x_s,y_s,u,x_n,y_n)$$

by Lemma 5.1, where $u=x_{s-1}y_s-x_sy_{s-1}$. Note

$$E_{s-1,n}(g)=(y_s\partial_{x_n}+x_sy_n)\partial_u(g)=0,$$

which implies $\partial_u(g)=0$. Since

$$E_{s,n}(g)=(\partial_{x_s}\partial_{x_n}-y_n\partial_{y_s})(g)=0,$$

we have

$$g\in\mathrm{Span}\{\sum_{t=0}^{\beta_s}\frac{\alpha_s!\alpha_n!\beta_s!}{(\alpha_s+t)!(\alpha_n+t)!(\beta_s-t)!}x_s^{\alpha_s+t}x_n^{\alpha_n+t}y_s^{\beta_s-t}y_n^{\beta_n+t}|\alpha_s,\alpha_n,\beta_s,\beta_n\in\mathbb{N},\alpha_s\alpha_n=0\}.$$

Lemma 5.3 *All singular vectors in $\mathcal{B}_{l_1,l_2}$ are*

$$\{\sum_{t=0}^{\beta_s}\frac{l_1!\beta_s!}{t!(l_1+t)!(\beta_s-t)!}x_s^t x_n^{l_1+t}y_s^{\beta_s-t}y_n^{l_2-\beta_s+t}|0\leq\beta_s\leq l_2\}$$

if $l_1\geq 0$, and

$$\{\sum_{t=0}^{\beta_s}\frac{(-l_1)!\beta_s!}{t!(-l_1+t)!(\beta_s-t)!}x_s^{-l_1+t}x_n^t y_s^{\beta_s-t}y_n^{l_2-\beta_s+t}|0\leq\beta_s\leq l_2\}$$

if $l_1<0$. □

When $l_1\geq 0$,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \Delta(\sum_{t=0}^{\beta_s}\tfrac{l_1!\beta_s!}{t!(l_1+t)!(\beta_s-t)!}x_s^t x_n^{l_1+t}y_s^{\beta_s-t}y_n^{l_2-\beta_s+t}) \\
= & -\sum_{t=0}^{\beta_s-1}\tfrac{l_1!\beta_s!}{t!(l_1+t)!(\beta_s-t-1)!}x_s^{t+1}x_n^{l_1+t}y_s^{\beta_s-t-1}y_n^{l_2-\beta_s+t} \\
& +\sum_{t=0}^{\beta_s}\tfrac{l_1!\beta_s!(l_2-\beta_s+t)}{t!(l_1+t-1)!(\beta_s-t)!}x_s^t x_n^{l_1+t-1}y_s^{\beta_s-t}y_n^{l_2-\beta_s+t-1} \\
= & \sum_{t=0}^{\beta_s}\tfrac{l_1!\beta_s!(l_2-\beta_s)}{t!(l_1+t-1)!(\beta_s-t)!}x_s^t x_n^{l_1+t-1}y_s^{\beta_s-t}y_n^{l_2-\beta_s+t-1}.
\end{aligned}$$

So

$$\Delta(\sum_{t=0}^{\beta_s}\frac{l_1!\beta_s!}{t!(l_1+t)!(\beta_s-t)!}x_s^t x_n^{l_1+t}y_s^{\beta_s-t}y_n^{l_2-\beta_s+t})0\iff\beta_s=l_2\ \ \text{or}\ \ l_1=\beta_s=0.$$

If $l_1<0$, then

$$\begin{aligned}
& \Delta(\sum_{t=0}^{\beta_s}\tfrac{(-l_1)!\beta_s!}{t!(-l_1+t)!(\beta_s-t)!}x_s^{-l_1+t}x_n^t y_s^{\beta_s-t}y_n^{l_2-\beta_s+t}) \\
= & -\sum_{t=0}^{\beta_s-1}\tfrac{(-l_1)!\beta_s!}{t!(-l_1+t)!(\beta_s-t-1)!}x_s^{-l_1+t+1}x_n^t y_s^{\beta_s-t-1}y_n^{l_2-\beta_s+t} \\
& +\sum_{t=1}^{\beta_s}\tfrac{(-l_1)!\beta_s!(l_2-\beta_s+t)}{(t-1)!(-l_1+t)!(\beta_s-t)!}x_s^{-l_1+t}x_n^{t-1}y_s^{\beta_s-t}y_n^{l_2-\beta_s+t-1} \\
= & \sum_{t=0}^{\beta_s-1}\tfrac{(-l_1)!\beta_s!(l_2+l_1-\beta_s)}{t!(-l_1+t+1)!(\beta_s-t-1)!}x_s^{-l_1+t+1}x_n^t y_s^{\beta_s-t-1}y_n^{l_2-\beta_s+t}.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\Delta(\sum_{t=0}^{\beta_s}\frac{(-l_1)!\beta_s!}{t!(-l_1+t)!(\beta_s-t)!}x_s^{-l_1+t}x_n^t y_s^{\beta_s-t}y_n^{l_2-\beta_s+t})=0\iff l_1+l_2=\beta_s\ \ \text{or}\ \ \beta_s=0.$$

Therefore, $\mathcal{H}_{l_1,l_2}$ contains only one singular vector if $l_1+l_2\leq 0$ or $l_1>0$. Furthermore,

$$E_{i,j}\mid_{\mathcal{B}}=-x_j\partial_{x_i}-y_j\partial_{y_i}\qquad\text{for }\ 1\leq i<j<n,$$

$$E_{i,n}\mid_{\mathcal{B}}=\partial_{x_i}\partial_{x_n}-y_n\partial_{y_i}\qquad\text{for }1\leq i<n.$$

So $\mathcal{B}$ is nilpotent with respect to $\mathcal{G}_+$. Thus $\mathcal{H}_{l_1,l_2}$ is an irreducible submodule. Identifying y_i with x_{n+i} $(i \in \overline{1,n})$ and by the similar argument as theorem 3.4, we obtain

$$\mathcal{B}_{l_1,l_2} = \mathcal{H}_{l_1,l_2} + \eta\mathcal{B}_{l_1-1,l_2-1} \qquad \text{for } \ l_1 + l_2 \leq 0 \text{ or } l_1 > 0.$$

$$\mathcal{H}_{l_1,l_2} \bigcap \eta\mathcal{B}_{l_1-1,l_2-1} = 0$$

when $l_1 + l_2 \leq 0$, and

$$\mathcal{H}_{l_1,l_2} \bigcap \eta\mathcal{B}_{l_1-1,l_2-1} \subset \mathcal{H}_{\langle l_1+l_2\rangle} \bigcap \eta\mathcal{A}_{\langle l_1+l_2-2\rangle} = U(so(2n,\mathbb{C})).\eta^{l_1+l_2}x_{n-1}^{l_1+l_2}$$
$$= \eta^{l_1+l_2}\mathcal{H}_{\langle -l_1-l_2\rangle} = \eta^{l_1+l_2}\bigoplus_{t=0}^{\infty}\mathcal{H}_{-l_1-l_2-t,t} \subset \bigoplus_{t=0}^{\infty}\mathcal{B}_{-t,l_1+l_2+t}$$

if $l_1 + l_2 > 0$. Thus

$$\mathcal{H}_{l_1,l_2} \bigcap \eta\mathcal{B}_{l_1-1,l_2-1} = 0 \qquad \text{if } l_1 + l_2 \leq 0 \text{ or } l_1 > 0.$$

By Lemma 3.1 with $\mathcal{T}_1 = \partial_{x_n}\partial_{y_n}$, $\mathcal{T}_2 = -\sum\limits_{i=1}^{n-1} x_i\partial_{y_i}$ and

$$\mathcal{T}_1^-(x^\alpha y^\beta) = \frac{x_n y_n x^\alpha y^\beta}{(\alpha_n+1)(\beta_n+1)},$$

we get

Theorem 5.4 *If $l_1 + l_2 \leq 0$ or $l_1 > 0$, then*

$$\mathcal{B}_{l_1,l_2} = \mathcal{H}_{l_1,l_2} \bigoplus \eta\mathcal{B}_{l_1-1,l_2-1},$$

and $\mathcal{H}_{l_1,l_2}$ is an irreducible highest weight module with the highest weight $-l_1\lambda_{n-2} + (l_1 + l_2 - 1)\lambda_{n-1}$ (resp. $l_2\lambda_{n-2} - (l_1 + l_2 + 1)\lambda_{n-1}$) and a corresponding highest weight vector is $x_s^{-l_1}y_n^{l_2}$ (resp. $\sum\limits_{t=0}^{l_2} \frac{l_1!l_2!}{t!(l_1+t)!(l_2-t)!}x_s^t x_n^{l_1+t} y_s^{l_2-t} y_n^t$) when $l_1 + l_2 \leq 0$ (resp. $l_1 > 0$). Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned}&\{\sum_{r_1,\cdots,r_{n-1}=0}^{\infty} \frac{\alpha_n!\beta_n!\prod_{i=1}^{n-1}\binom{\beta_i}{r_i}(r_1+\cdots+r_{n-1})!}{(\alpha_n+r_1+\cdots+r_{n-1})!(\beta_n+r_1+\cdots+r_{n-1})!} x_n^{\alpha_n+r_1+\cdots+r_{n-1}} y_n^{\beta_n+r_1+\cdots+r_{n-1}} \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} x_i^{\alpha_i+r_i} y_i^{\beta_i-r_i}\\ &|\alpha_1,\cdots,\alpha_n,\beta_1,\cdots,\beta_n \in \mathbb{N}; \alpha_n\beta_n = 0; \alpha_n - \sum_{i=1}^{n-1}\alpha_i = l_1, \sum_{i=1}^{n}\beta_i = l_2\}\end{aligned} \tag{5.4}$$

forms a basis of $\mathcal{H}_{l_1,l_2}$. □

Case 3. $s < n - 1$.

Let $f \in \mathcal{B}$ be a singular vector. We can write

$$f = g(x_s, y_s, u, x_{s+1}, y_n, v)$$

with $u = x_{s-1}y_s - x_s y_{s-1}$ and $v = \sum\limits_{j=s+1}^{n} x_j y_j$ by the same arguments as those in Case 2 of last section. Note

$$E_{s-1,n}(g) = y_s y_n \partial_u \partial_v(g) + x_s y_n \partial_u(g) = 0 = y_n(y_s\partial_v + x_s)\partial_u(g) = 0,$$

which implies $\partial_u(g) = 0$. Moreover,

$$E_{s,n}(g) = y_n \partial_{x_s}\partial_v(g) - y_n \partial_{y_s}(g) = 0,$$

i.e.

$$(\partial_{x_s}\partial_v - \partial_{y_s})(g) = 0,$$

and

$$E_{s,s+1}(g) = \partial_{x_s}\partial_{x_{s+1}}(g) = 0.$$

So any singular vector is the form

$$\begin{array}{rl} & \sum\limits_{t=0}^{\infty}(\int_{x_s}\int_v)^t x_s^{\alpha_s}(\partial_{y_s}) y_s^{\beta_s} y_n^{\beta_n} \\ = & \sum\limits_{t=0}^{\beta_s} \frac{\alpha_s!\beta_s!}{(\alpha_s+t)!t!(\beta_s-t)!} x_s^{\alpha_s+t} v^t y_s^{\alpha_s-t} y_n^{\beta_n} \\ = & \frac{\alpha_s!}{(\alpha_s+\beta_s)!}(y_s\partial_{x_s} + v)^{\beta_s} x_s^{\alpha_s+\beta_s} y_n^{\beta_n} \\ = & \frac{\alpha_s!}{(\alpha_s+\beta_s)!}\eta^{\beta_s} x_s^{\alpha_s+\beta_s} y_n^{\beta_n}, \end{array}$$

or

$$\begin{array}{rl} & \sum\limits_{t=0}^{\infty}(\int_{x_s}\int_v)^t v^{\alpha_v}(\partial_{y_s}) y_s^{\beta_s} y_n^{\beta_n} \\ = & \sum\limits_{t=0}^{\beta_s} \frac{\alpha_v!\beta_s!}{(\alpha_v+t)!t!(\beta_s-t)!} x_s^{t} v^{\alpha_v+t} y_s^{\alpha_s-t} y_n^{\beta_n} \\ = & \frac{\alpha_v!}{(\alpha_v+\beta_s)!}(y_s\partial_{x_s} + v)^{\alpha_v+\beta_s} x_s^{\beta_s} y_n^{\beta_n} \\ = & \frac{\alpha_v!}{(\alpha_v+\beta_s)!}\eta^{\alpha_v+\beta_s} x_s^{\beta_s} y_n^{\beta_n}, \end{array}$$

or

$$x_{s+1}^{\alpha_{s+1}} v^{\alpha_v} y_n^{\beta_n} = \eta^{\alpha_v} x_{s+1}^{\alpha_{s+1}} y_n^{\beta_n}.$$

Using Lemma 3.1 with $\mathcal{T}_1 = \partial_{x_n}\partial_{y_n}$, $\mathcal{T}_2 = \Delta - \mathcal{T}_1$ and

$$\mathcal{T}_1^{-}(x^\alpha y^\beta) = \frac{x_n y_n x^\alpha y^\beta}{(\alpha_n+1)(\beta_n+1)},$$

and using Theorem 3.5 with x_{n+i} replaced by y_i ($i \in \overline{1,n}$), we get

Theorem 5.5 *If $l_1 + l_2 \leq -(n-s-1)$ or $l_1 > -(n-s-1)$, then*

$$\mathcal{B}_{l_1,l_2} = \mathcal{H}_{l_1,l_2} \bigoplus \eta \mathcal{B}_{l_1-1,l_2-1},$$

and $\mathcal{H}_{l_1,l_2}$ is an irreducible highest weight module with a basis

$$\begin{array}{l} \{ \sum\limits_{r_1,\cdots,r_{n-1}=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{r_{s+1}+\cdots+r_n}\alpha_n!\beta_n! \prod\limits_{j=s+1}^{n-1}\binom{\alpha_j}{r_j}\prod\limits_{i=1}^{n-1}\binom{\beta_i}{r_i}(r_1+\cdots+r_{n-1})! \prod\limits_{j=s+1}^{n-1} r_j!}{(\alpha_n+r_1+\cdots+r_{n-1})!(\beta_n+r_1+\cdots+r_{n-1})!} \\ \prod\limits_{i=1}^{s} x_i^{\alpha_i+r_i} \prod\limits_{j=s+1}^{n-1} x_j^{\alpha_j-r_j} \prod\limits_{i=1}^{n-1} y_i^{\beta_i-r_i} x_n^{\alpha_n+r_1+\cdots+r_{n-1}} y_n^{\beta_n+r_1+\cdots+r_{n-1}} | \\ \alpha_1,\cdots,\alpha_n,\beta_1,\cdots,\beta_n \in \mathbb{N}, \alpha_n\beta_n = 0, \sum\limits_{j=s+1}^{n}\alpha_j - \sum\limits_{i=1}^{s}\alpha_i = l_1, \sum\limits_{i=1}^{n}\beta_n = l_2\}. \end{array} \tag{5.5}$$

The highest weight of $\mathcal{H}_{l_1,l_2}$ *is* $-l_1\lambda_{s-1}+(l_1-1)\lambda_s+l_2\lambda_{n-1}$ *(resp.*$-(l_1+1)\lambda_s+l_1\lambda_{s+1}+l_2\lambda_{n-1}$*) and a corresponding highest weight vector is* $x_s^{-l_1}y_n^{l_2}$ *(resp.* $x_{s+1}^{l_1}y_n^{l_2}$*) when* $l_1+l_2 \leq (n-s-1)$ *or* $-(n-s-1) < l_1 \leq 0$ *(resp.* $l_1 > 0$*).*

□

Acknowledgement

I would like to thank Professor Xiaoping Xu for his advice and suggesting this research topic.

References

[**1**] L.Frappat, A.Sciarrino and P.sorba, Dictionary on Lie Algebras and Superalgebras, Academic Press,2000

[**2**] I. M, Gelfand and M. L. Tsetlin, Finite-dimensional representations of the group of unimodular matrices. Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR **71**, 825-828(1950)(Russian). English transl. in: Gelfand, I. M. *Collected papers. Vol II*, Berlin: Springer-Verlag, 1988

[**3**] I. M, Gelfand and M. L. Tsetlin, Finite-dimensional representations of the groups of orthogonal matrices. Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR **71**, 1017-1020(1950)(Russian). English transl. in: Gelfand, I. M. *Collected papers. Vol II*, Berlin: Springer-Verlag, 1988

[**4**] J. E. Humphreys, *Introduction to Lie Algebras and Representation Theory*, Springer-Verlag New York Inc., 1972.

[**5**] V. G. Kac, *Infinite Dimensional Lie Algebras*, Third edition, Cambridge University Press,1990.

[**6**] A. I. Molev, A basis for representations of symlectic Lie algebras. Commun. Math. Phys. 201,591-618(1999)

[**7**] X. Xu, *Lie Algebras and Their Representations*, Lectures Notes in Academy of Mathematics and Systems, Chinese Academy of Sciences, 2004.

[**8**] X.Xu, Flag partial differential equations and representations of Lie algebras, *Acta Applicanda Mathematicae*, in press.